

Exercise 1 (Fixed-point Combinator)

- Use a fixed-point combinator to compute the length of lists on the encoding given in the last tutorial.
- Find an easier solution for the encoding from the last homework.

Exercise 2 (β -reduction on de Bruijn Preserves Substitution)

We consider an alternative representation of λ -terms that is due to de Bruijn. In this representation, λ -terms are defined according to the following grammar:

$$d ::= i \in \mathbb{N} \mid d_1 d_2 \mid \lambda d$$

Define substitution and β -reduction on de Bruijn terms.

Now restate Lemma 1.2.5 for de Bruijn terms and prove it:

$$s \rightarrow_{\beta} s' \implies s[u/x] \rightarrow_{\beta} s'[u/x]$$

Homework 3 (Multiplication)

Define multiplication using `fix` and prove its correctness. You can assume that you are given a predecessor function `pred` such that:

- `pred 0` \rightarrow_{β}^* `0`
- `pred (succ n)` \rightarrow_{β}^* `n`

Homework 4 (Efficient Substitution on de Bruijn)

We define a new lifting operator \uparrow_{\square}^n :

$$i \uparrow_l^n = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } i < l \\ i + n, & \text{if } i \geq l \end{cases}$$

$$(d_1 d_2) \uparrow_l^n = d_1 \uparrow_l^n d_2 \uparrow_l^n$$

$$(\lambda d) \uparrow_l^n = \lambda d \uparrow_{l+1}^n$$

Use \uparrow_{\square}^n to define a more efficient version of substitution for de Bruijn terms that only applies lifting in the case that a variable is actually replaced by a term. Prove that `t[s/0]` yields the same result for both, your new version and the version from the tutorial. *Hint:* Find a suitable generalization first.

Homework 5 (Expanding Lets)

We have a language with `let`-expressions, i.e.:

$$t = v \mid t \ t \mid \text{let } v = t \ \text{in } t$$

Write a program which expands all `let`-expressions. The `let`-semantics are:

$$(\text{let } v = t_1 \ \text{in } t_2) = (\lambda v. t_2) t_1$$

If you want to use a language different from ML, Ocaml, Haskell, Java, and Python, please talk to the tutor first.