

**Exercise 1 (Confluence & Commutation)**

Show: If  $\rightarrow_1$  and  $\rightarrow_2$  are confluent, and if  $\rightarrow_1^*$  and  $\rightarrow_2^*$  commute, then  $\rightarrow_{12} := \rightarrow_1 \cup \rightarrow_2$  is also confluent.

**Solution**

Lemma A.3.2 from the lecture. The key idea is to consider  $\rightarrow_1^* \circ \rightarrow_2^*$  as  $\rightarrow_{12}^*$  unfolds into iterations of this relation. More precisely:

$$\rightarrow_{12} \subseteq \rightarrow_1^* \circ \rightarrow_2^* \subseteq \rightarrow_{12}^* \quad (*)$$

It is easy to see that  $\rightarrow_1^* \circ \rightarrow_2^*$  has the diamond property (see picture in the lecture notes). Thus  $\rightarrow_1^* \circ \rightarrow_2^*$  is strongly confluent, and together with the “sandwich” property for  $\rightarrow_1^* \circ \rightarrow_2^*$  and  $\rightarrow_{12}$ , we get that  $\rightarrow_{12}$  is confluent.

**Exercise 2 (Local Commutation)**

Show: If

$$t_2 \xrightarrow{2} s \rightarrow_1 t_1 \implies \exists u. t_2 \xrightarrow{1}^= u \xrightarrow{2}^* t_1,$$

then  $\rightarrow_1^*$  and  $\rightarrow_2^*$  commute.

Here  $\rightarrow^=$  denotes the reflexive closure of  $\rightarrow$ , i.e.:

$$\rightarrow^= := \rightarrow \cup \rightarrow^0$$

**Solution**

Lemma A.3.3 from the lecture.

**Exercise 3 (Strong Confluence)**

A relation  $\rightarrow$  is said to be *strongly confluent* iff:

$$t_2 \leftarrow s \rightarrow t_1 \implies \exists u. t_2 \rightarrow^* u \xrightarrow{=}\leftarrow t_1$$

Show that every *strongly confluent* relation is also *confluent*.

## Solution

We show that every strongly confluent relation is also semi-confluent (see homework). To do so, we will show the stronger property

$$t_2 \xrightarrow{n\leftarrow} s \rightarrow t_1 \implies \exists u. t_2 \xrightarrow{*} u \xrightarrow{=}\leftarrow t_1$$

by induction on  $n$ . The base case for  $n = 0$  is trivial (choose  $u = t_1$ ). For the induction step, we assume the statement for some  $u$  as the induction hypothesis and assume another step  $t_2 \rightarrow t'_2$ . We make a case distinction on  $t_2 \xrightarrow{=}\leftarrow u$ . The case  $t_2 = u$  is trivial as then  $s \xrightarrow{n+1} t'_2$ . If  $t_2 \rightarrow u$ , then from strong confluence we obtain a  $u'$  such that

$$u \xrightarrow{*} u' \wedge t'_2 \xrightarrow{=}\leftarrow u'$$

Together with the induction hypothesis, this concludes the proof.

## Homework 4 (Semi-Confluence)

A relation  $\rightarrow$  is said to be *semi-confluent* iff:

$$t_2 \xrightarrow{*}\leftarrow s \rightarrow t_1 \implies \exists u. t_2 \xrightarrow{*} u \xrightarrow{*}\leftarrow t_1$$

Show that  $\rightarrow$  is *semi-confluent* if and only if it is *confluent*.

## Homework 5 (Diamond Property & Normal Forms)

Show that if  $\rightarrow$  has the diamond property, every element is either in normal form or has no normal form.

## Homework 6 (Weak Diamond Property)

Assume that  $\rightarrow$  has the following weaker diamond property:

$$t_2 \leftarrow s \rightarrow t_1 \wedge t_1 \neq t_2 \implies \exists u. t_2 \rightarrow u \leftarrow t_1.$$

- Is it still the case that every element is either in normal form or has no normal form?
- Show that if  $t$  has a normal form, then all its reductions to its normal form have the same length.