

Exercise 1 (Recursive let)

Recursive `let` expressions are one way (besides Y -combinators) to add recursion to λ^\rightarrow .

$$t ::= x \mid (t_1 t_2) \mid (\lambda x. t) \mid \mathbf{letrec} \ x = t_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ t_2$$

- Modify the standard typing rule for `let` to create a suitable rule for `letrec`.
- Considering *type inference*, what is the problematic property of this rule compared to the rule for `let`?
- Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type τ :

$$[] \vdash \mathbf{letrec} \ x = \lambda y. x \ (x \ y) \ \mathbf{in} \ x \ x : \tau$$

Solution

- The rule for `letrec` is like the rule for `let`, but we also add x to Γ when checking t_1 .

$$\frac{\Gamma[x : \sigma_1] \vdash t_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \Gamma[x : \sigma_1] \vdash t_2 : \sigma_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{letrec} \ x = t_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ t_2) : \sigma_2} \text{LETREC}$$

Alternatively, we can combine this rule with the \forall -intro typing rule:

$$\frac{\{ \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \} = FV(\tau) \setminus FV(\Gamma) \quad \Gamma[x : \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \tau] \vdash t_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma[x : \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \tau] \vdash t_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{letrec} \ x = t_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ t_2 : \tau_2} \text{LETREC}'$$

- The interesting property of this new typing rule is that we cannot know which $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ we need to generalize τ over before we have inferred τ (the type of t_1). Thus, typical compilers will only allow x to be used monomorphically in t_1 . Alternatively, the user can explicitly specify a type schema for x , so that it can be used polymorphically.
- Abbreviations: $\Gamma_1 = [x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha]$ and $\Gamma_2 = [x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, y : \alpha]$.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma_2 \vdash x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}{\Gamma_2 \vdash x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{VAR}' \quad \frac{\Gamma_2 \vdash x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash y : \alpha}{\Gamma_2 \vdash x \ y : \alpha} \text{APP}}{\Gamma_2 \vdash x \ (x \ y) : \alpha} \text{APP}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash \lambda y. x \ (x \ y) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{ABS}}{\frac{\frac{\text{see above}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash \lambda y. x \ (x \ y) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash x : (\beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma_1 \vdash x : (\beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta} \text{VAR}' \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash x : \beta \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma_1 \vdash x \ x : \beta \rightarrow \beta} \text{APP}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash x \ x : \beta \rightarrow \beta} \text{APP}}{[] \vdash \mathbf{letrec} \ x = \lambda y. x \ (x \ y) \ \mathbf{in} \ x \ x : \beta \rightarrow \beta} \text{LETREC}'$$

