Technische Universität München
Institut für Informatik
Prof. Tobias Nipkow, Ph.D.
Lukas Stevens

Lambda Calculus
Winter Term 2022/23
Exercise Sheet 10

Exercise 1 (Example of Type Inference for let)

Consider the typing problem

$$x\colon \alpha \vdash \mathsf{let}\ y = \lambda z.\ z\ x\ \mathsf{in}\ y\ (\lambda v.\ x)\ :\ ?\tau$$

where α is a type variable.

a) Find the most general type schema σ with $x : \alpha \vdash \lambda z.\ z\ x\ :\ \sigma$ and draw a type derivation tree.

b) Draw the type derivation tree for

$$x\colon \alpha, y\colon \sigma \vdash y\ (\lambda v.\ x)\ :\ ?\tau$$

with the correct type for $?\tau$.

Exercise 2 (Recursive `let`)

Recursive `let` expressions are one way (besides Y-combinators) to add recursion to $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$.

$$t ::= x \mid (t_1\ t_2) \mid (\lambda x.\ \ t) \mid \texttt{letrec}\ x = t_1\ \texttt{in}\ t_2$$

a) Modify the standard typing rule for `let` to create a suitable rule for `letrec`.

b) Considering *type inference*, what is the problematic property of this rule compared to the rule for `let`?

Exercise 3 (Type Inference in Haskell (2))

Extend the implementation of the type inference algorithm from the last exercise with `let` and `letrec` constructs.

Homework 4 (Fixed-point combinator)

Let

$$\$ = \lambda abcdefghijklmnopqstuvwxyzr.\ r(thisisafixedpointcombinator)$$

and

$$€ = \$\ .$$

Show that € is a fixed-point combinator.

Homework 5 (`let`-Polymorphism)

Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type τ:

$$[z\colon \tau_0] \vdash \texttt{ let } x = \lambda y\ z.\ z\ y\ y \texttt{ in } x\ (x\ z)\ : \tau$$

Homework 6 (Towards Syntax-Directed let-Polymorphism)

In the lecture, it was claimed that the systems DM and DM', which, in contrast to DM, has explicit rules ∀Intro and ∀Elim, are essentially equivalent. More specifically, it was claimed that

$$\Gamma \vdash_{DM} t\ :\ \sigma \Longrightarrow \exists \tau.\ \ \Gamma \vdash_{DM'} t\ :\ \tau \wedge \mathsf{gen}(\Gamma, \tau) \preceq \sigma.$$

As a step towards proving this result, we want to rearrange derivations in DM such that they resemble derivations in DM'. In particular, prove that

a) Any derivation $\Gamma \vdash_{DM} t\ :\ \sigma$ can be transformed such that ∀Elim only occur in a chain below the Var rule, i.e.

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Gamma \vdash x\colon \forall \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n.\ \tau}\ \text{Var}}{\vdots}\ \forall\text{Elim}}{\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash x\colon \forall \alpha_n.\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x\colon \tau}\ \forall\text{Elim}}\ \forall\text{Elim}}{\vdots}$$

b) Any derivation $\Gamma \vdash_{DM} t\ :\ \sigma$ can be transformed such that ∀Intro only occur in a chain that is terminated by an application of the Let rule or by the end of the proof, i.e.

$$\dfrac{\forall\text{Intro}\ \dfrac{\forall\text{Intro}\ \dfrac{\forall\text{Intro}\ \dfrac{\dfrac{\vdots}{\Gamma \vdash t_1\colon \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1\colon \forall \alpha_n.\ \tau}}{\vdots}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1\colon \forall \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n.\ \tau} \qquad \dfrac{\vdots}{\Gamma[x\colon \forall \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n.\ \tau] \vdash t_2\colon \sigma}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let}\ x = t_1\ \mathsf{in}\ t_2\colon \sigma}\ \text{Let}$$

or

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\vdots}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \forall \alpha_n.\ \tau}\ \forall\text{Intro}}{\vdots}\ \forall\text{Intro}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \forall \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n.\ \tau}\ \forall\text{Intro}
$$