# Propositional Logic Equivalences

## Equivalence

### Definition (Equivalence)

Two formulas F and G are (semantically) equivalent if  $\mathcal{A}(F) = \mathcal{A}(G)$  for every assignment  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We write  $F \equiv G$  to denote that F and G are equivalent.

#### Exercise

Which of the following equivalences hold?

$$(A \land (A \lor B)) \equiv A$$

$$(A \land (B \lor C)) \equiv ((A \land B) \lor C)$$

$$(A \to (B \to C)) \equiv ((A \to B) \to C)$$

$$(A \to (B \to C)) \equiv ((A \land B) \to C)$$

#### Observation

The following connections hold:

$$\models F \to G \quad \text{iff} \quad F \models G$$
  
 $\models F \leftrightarrow G \quad \text{iff} \quad F \equiv G$ 

NB: "iff" means "if and only if"

## Reductions between problems (I)

► Validity to Unsatisfiabilty (and back):

```
F valid iff \neg F unsatisfiable F unsatisfiable iff \neg F valid
```

► Validity to Consequence:

*F* valid iff 
$$\top \models F$$

► Consequence to Validity:

$$F \models G$$
 iff  $F \rightarrow G$  valid

# Reductions between problems (II)

► Validity to Equivalence:

*F* valid iff 
$$F \equiv \top$$

► Equivalence to Validity:

$$F \equiv G$$
 iff  $F \leftrightarrow G$  valid

## Properties of semantic equivalence

- Semantic equivalence is an equivalence relation between formulas.
- Semantic equivalence is closed under operators:

If 
$$F_1 \equiv F_2$$
 and  $G_1 \equiv G_2$   
then  $(F_1 \wedge G_1) \equiv (F_2 \wedge G_2)$ ,  
 $(F_1 \vee G_1) \equiv (F_2 \vee G_2)$  and  
 $\neg F_1 \equiv \neg F_2$ 

Equivalence relation + Closure under Operations = Congruence relation

## Replacement theorem

#### **Theorem**

Let  $F \equiv G$ . Let H be a formula with an occurrence of F as a subformula. Let H' be the result of replacing an arbitrary occurrence of F in H by G. Then  $H \equiv H'$ .

**Proof** by induction on the structure of H.

We consider only the case  $H = \neg H_0$ .

We analyse where F occurs in H.

If F = H then H' = G and thus  $H = F \equiv G = H'$ .

Otherwise F is a subformula of  $H_0$ .

Let  $H'_0$  be the result of replacing F by G in  $H_0$ .

IH:  $H_0 \equiv H'_0$ 

Thus  $H = \neg H_0 \equiv \neg H'_0 = H'$ 

# Equivalences (I)

# Equivalences (II)

```
(F \wedge (G \vee H)) \equiv ((F \wedge G) \vee (F \wedge H))
(F \lor (G \land H)) \equiv ((F \lor G) \land (F \lor H))
                                                                   (Distributivity)
                                                              (Double negation)
      \neg (F \land G) \equiv (\neg F \lor \neg G)
      \neg (F \lor G) \equiv (\neg F \land \neg G)
                                                             (deMorgan's Laws)
        (\top \vee G) \equiv \top
        (\top \wedge G) \equiv G
        (\bot \lor G) \equiv G
        (\bot \land G) \equiv \bot
```

# Warning

The symbols  $\models$  and  $\equiv$  are not operators in the language of propositional logic but part of the meta-language for talking about logic.

#### Examples:

$$\mathcal{A} \models F$$
 and  $F \equiv G$  are not propositional formulas.  
 $(\mathcal{A} \models F) \equiv G$  and  $(F \equiv G) \leftrightarrow (G \equiv F)$  are nonsense.