

LOGICS EXERCISE

TU MÜNCHEN
INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW
LARS HUPEL

SS 2018

EXERCISE SHEET 8

29.05.2018

Submission of homework: Before tutorial on 05.06.2018. Until further notice, homework has to be submitted in groups of two students.

Exercise 8.1. [Simultaneous substitution]

Recall that $F[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n]$ is the *simultaneous* substitution of x_1, \dots, x_n by t_1, \dots, t_n .

1. Can we always express $F[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n]$ as a series of one-variable substitutions?
2. Can we always summarize a series of one-variable substitutions to a single simultaneous substitution?

Exercise 8.2. [Occurs check]

What happens if one omits the occurs check in the unification algorithm? Find an example where a unification algorithm without occurs check diverges or returns the wrong result.

Exercise 8.3. [Unifiable terms]

Specify the most general unifiers for the following sets of terms, if one exists:

$$L_1 = \{f(x, y), f(h(a), x)\}$$

$$L_2 = \{f(x, y), f(h(x), x)\}$$

$$L_3 = \{f(x, b), f(h(y), z)\}$$

$$L_4 = \{f(x, x), f(h(y), y)\}$$

Exercise 8.4. [Formulas without negation]

Prove that every predicate logic formula that only contains $\wedge, \vee, \forall, \exists, \longrightarrow$ and atomic formulas is satisfiable. Is such a formula also valid?

Homework 8.1. [Most general unifier] (8 points)

Consider the unification problem $x \stackrel{?}{=} f(y)$. Without running the unification algorithm, prove that

1. $\sigma_1 = \{x \mapsto f(y)\}$ is a most general unifier.
2. $\sigma_2 = \{x \mapsto f(z), y \mapsto z\}$ is unifier, but not a most general unifier.

Hint: Argue using the definition of “most general unifier”. Two substitutions σ and σ' can be proved equal by showing that they are equal on all variables, i.e., for all x , $x\sigma = x\sigma'$. Similarly, they can be proved not equal by demonstrating for a particular x that $x\sigma \neq x\sigma'$.

Homework 8.2. [Unification] (2 points)

Use the algorithm presented in the lecture to compute a most general unifier for the following set of formulas: $\{P(g(x), f(a)), P(y, x), P(g(f(z)), f(z))\}$

Homework 8.3. [Untangling simultaneous substitution] (5 points)

Recall Exercise 8.1. Demonstrate how to “untangle” a simultaneous substitution that has been obtained by consolidating one-variable substitutions back into one-variable substitutions.

Homework 8.4. [Ground resolution] (5 points)

Execute ground resolution to show that the following formula is unsatisfiable:

$$\forall x \forall y ((P(x) \wedge \neg Q(y, y)) \rightarrow Q(x, y)) \wedge \neg \exists x (P(x) \wedge \exists y (Q(y, y) \wedge Q(x, y))) \wedge \exists y (P(y))$$