

Semantics

TN

January 28, 2011

Contents

1	Arithmetic and Boolean Expressions	4
1.1	Arithmetic Expressions	4
1.2	Optimization	4
1.3	Boolean Expressions	5
1.4	Optimization	5
2	Arithmetic Stack Machine and Compilation	7
2.1	Arithmetic Stack Machine	7
2.2	Compilation	7
3	IMP — A Simple Imperative Language	8
3.1	From functions to lists	8
3.2	Big-Step Semantics of Commands	9
3.3	Rule inversion	11
3.4	Command Equivalence	12
3.5	Execution is deterministic	14
4	Small-Step Semantics of Commands	14
4.1	The transition relation	14
4.2	Executability	15
4.3	Proof infrastructure	15
4.3.1	Induction rules	15
4.3.2	Proof automation	15
4.4	Equivalence with big-step semantics	16
4.5	Final configurations and infinite reductions	19
5	A Compiler for IMP	19
5.1	Instructions and Stack Machine	19
5.2	Verification infrastructure	21
5.3	Compilation	23
5.4	Preservation of semantics	24

6	A Typed Language	25
6.1	Arithmetic Expressions	25
6.2	Boolean Expressions	25
6.3	Syntax of Commands	25
6.4	Small-Step Semantics of Commands	26
6.5	The Type System	26
6.6	Well-typed Programs Do Not Get Stuck	27
6.7	Type Variables	29
6.8	Typing is Preserved by Substitution	30
7	Definite Assignment Analysis	31
7.1	The Variables in an Expression	31
7.2	Definite Assignment Analysis	33
7.3	Initialization-Sensitive Expressions Evaluation	33
7.4	Initialization-Sensitive Big Step Semantics	34
7.5	Soundness wrt Big Steps	35
8	Live Variable Analysis	36
8.1	Liveness Analysis	36
8.2	Soundness	37
8.3	Program Optimization	38
9	Security Type Systems	41
9.1	Security Levels and Expressions	41
9.2	Syntax Directed Typing	43
9.3	The Standard Typing System	47
9.4	A Bottom-Up Typing System	48
9.5	A Termination-Sensitive Syntax Directed System	49
9.6	The Standard Termination-Sensitive System	52
10	Hoare Logic	53
10.1	Hoare Logic for Partial Correctness	53
10.2	Example: Sums	55
	10.2.1 Proof by Operational Semantics	55
	10.2.2 Proof by Hoare Logic	55
10.3	Soundness	56
10.4	Weakest Precondition	57
10.5	Completeness	57
11	Verification Conditions	58
11.1	VCG via Weakest Preconditions	59
11.2	Soundness	59
11.3	Completeness	60
11.4	An Optimization	61

12 Hoare Logic for Total Correctness	62
13 Extensions and Variations of IMP	66
13.1 Procedures and Local Variables	66
13.1.1 Dynamic Scoping of Procedures and Variables	67
13.1.2 Static Scoping of Procedures, Dynamic of Variables	68
13.1.3 Static Scoping of Procedures and Variables	69
13.2 A C-like Language	70
13.3 Towards an OO Language: A Language of Records	72

1 Arithmetic and Boolean Expressions

theory *AExp* imports *Main* begin

1.1 Arithmetic Expressions

types

name = *nat* — For simplicity in examples
state = *name* \Rightarrow *nat*

datatype *aexp* = *N nat* | *V name* | *Plus aexp aexp*

fun *aval* :: *aexp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

aval (*N n*) = *n* |
aval (*V x*) *st* = *st x* |
aval (*Plus e1 e2*) *st* = *aval e1 st* + *aval e2 st*

Subscripts are for visual beauty only!

value *aval* (*Plus* (*V 0*) (*N 5*)) (*nth* [2,1])

1.2 Optimization

Evaluate constant subexpressions:

fun *asimp-const* :: *aexp* \Rightarrow *aexp* **where**

asimp-const (*N n*) = *N n* |
asimp-const (*V x*) = *V x* |
asimp-const (*Plus e1 e2*) =
 (*case* (*asimp-const e1*, *asimp-const e2*) *of*
 (*N n1*, *N n2*) \Rightarrow *N(n1+n2)* |
 (*e1'*, *e2'*) \Rightarrow *Plus e1' e2'*)

theorem *aval-asimp-const[simp]*:

aval (*asimp-const a*) *st* = *aval a st*

apply(*induct a*)

apply (*auto split: aexp.split*)

done

Now we also eliminate all occurrences 0 in additions. The standard method: optimized versions of the constructors:

fun *plus* :: *aexp* \Rightarrow *aexp* \Rightarrow *aexp* **where**

plus (*N 0*) *e* = *e* |
plus *e* (*N 0*) = *e* |
plus (*N n1*) (*N n2*) = *N(n1+n2)* |
plus *e1 e2* = *Plus e1 e2*

```

lemma aval-plus[simp]:
  aval (plus e1 e2) st = aval e1 st + aval e2 st
apply(induct e1 e2 rule: plus.induct)
apply simp-all
done

```

```

fun asimp :: aexp  $\Rightarrow$  aexp where
  asimp (N n) = N n |
  asimp (V x) = V x |
  asimp (Plus e1 e2) = plus (asimp e1) (asimp e2)

```

Note that in *asimp-const* the optimized constructor was inlined. Making it a separate function *AExp.plus* improves modularity of the code and the proofs.

```

value asimp (Plus (Plus (N 0) (N 0)) (Plus (V 5) (N 0)))

```

```

theorem aval-asimp[simp]:
  aval (asimp a) st = aval a st
apply(induct a)
apply simp-all
done

```

```

end

```

```

theory BExp imports AExp begin

```

1.3 Boolean Expressions

```

datatype bexp = B bool | Not bexp | And bexp bexp | Less aexp aexp

```

```

primrec bval :: bexp  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bval (B bv) - = bv |
  bval (Not b) st = ( $\neg$  bval b st) |
  bval (And b1 b2) st = (if bval b1 st then bval b2 st else False) |
  bval (Less a1 a2) st = (aval a1 st < aval a2 st)

```

```

value bval (Less (V 1) (Plus (N 3) (V 0))) (nth [1,3])

```

1.4 Optimization

Optimized constructors:

```

fun less :: aexp  $\Rightarrow$  aexp  $\Rightarrow$  bexp where
  less (N n1) (N n2) = B(n1 < n2) |

```

less a1 a2 = Less a1 a2

lemma [simp]: *bval (less a1 a2) st = (aval a1 st < aval a2 st)*
apply(*induct a1 a2 rule: less.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
done

fun *and* :: *bexp* \Rightarrow *bexp* \Rightarrow *bexp* **where**
and (*B True*) *b* = *b* |
and *b* (*B True*) = *b* |
and (*B False*) *b* = *B False* |
and *b* (*B False*) = *B False* |
and *b1 b2* = *And b1 b2*

lemma *bval-and*[simp]: *bval (and b1 b2) st = (bval b1 st & bval b2 st)*
apply(*induct b1 b2 rule: and.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
done

fun *not* :: *bexp* \Rightarrow *bexp* **where**
not (*B True*) = *B False* |
not (*B False*) = *B True* |
not *b* = *Not b*

lemma *bval-not*[simp]: *bval (not b) st = (~ bval b st)*
apply(*induct b rule: not.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
done

Now the overall optimizer:

fun *bsimp* :: *bexp* \Rightarrow *bexp* **where**
bsimp (*Less a1 a2*) = *less (asimp a1) (asimp a2)* |
bsimp (*And b1 b2*) = *and (bsimp b1) (bsimp b2)* |
bsimp (*Not b*) = *not(bsimp b)* |
bsimp (*B bv*) = *B bv*

value *bsimp* (*And (Less (N 0) (N 1)) b*)

value *bsimp* (*And (Less (N 1) (N 0)) (B True)*)

theorem *bval (bsimp b) st = bval b st*
apply(*induct b*)
apply *simp-all*
done

end

2 Arithmetic Stack Machine and Compilation

theory *ASM* imports *AExp* begin

2.1 Arithmetic Stack Machine

datatype *ainstr* = *PUSH-N nat* | *PUSH-V nat* | *ADD*

types *stack* = *nat list*

abbreviation *hd2 xs* == *hd(tl xs)*

abbreviation *tl2 xs* == *tl(tl xs)*

Abbreviations are transparent: they are unfolded after parsing and folded back again before printing. Internally, they do not exist.

fun *aexec1* :: *ainstr* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *stack* \Rightarrow *stack* **where**
aexec1 (*PUSH-N n*) - *stk* = *n* # *stk* |
aexec1 (*PUSH-V n*) *s stk* = *s(n)* # *stk* |
aexec1 *ADD* - *stk* = (*hd2 stk* + *hd stk*) # *tl2 stk*

fun *aexec* :: *ainstr list* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *stack* \Rightarrow *stack* **where**
aexec [] - *stk* = *stk* |
aexec (*i#is*) *s stk* = *aexec is s (aexec1 i s stk)*

value *aexec* [*PUSH-N 5*, *PUSH-V 2*, *ADD*] (*nth*[42,43,44]) [50]

lemma *aexec-append[simp]*:

aexec (is1@is2) s stk = *aexec is2 s (aexec is1 s stk)*

apply(*induct is1 arbitrary: stk*)

apply (*auto*)

done

2.2 Compilation

fun *acompile* :: *aexp* \Rightarrow *ainstr list* **where**
acompile (*N n*) = [*PUSH-N n*] |
acompile (*V n*) = [*PUSH-V n*] |
acompile (*Plus e1 e2*) = *acompile e1 @ acompile e2 @ [ADD]*

value *acompile* (*Plus (Plus (V 0) (N 1)) (V 2)*)

```

theorem aexec-acomp[simp]: aexec (acomp e) s stk = aval e s # stk
apply(induct e arbitrary: stk)
apply (auto)
done

end

```

3 IMP — A Simple Imperative Language

```

theory Com imports BExp begin

```

```

datatype

```

```

  com = SKIP
    | Assign name aexp      (- ::= - [1000, 61] 61)
    | Semi com com          (-;/ - [60, 61] 60)
    | If bexp com com      ((IF -/ THEN -/ ELSE -) [0, 0, 61] 61)
    | While bexp com       ((WHILE -/ DO -) [0, 61] 61)

```

```

end

```

```

theory Util imports Main
begin

```

3.1 From functions to lists

```

value [0 ..< 3]

```

```

value map f [0 ..< 3]

```

```

definition list :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
list s n = map s [0 ..< n]

```

```

value list f 3

```

```

end

```

```

theory Big-Step imports Com Util begin

```


3.2 Big-Step Semantics of Commands

inductive

big-step :: *com* × *state* ⇒ *state* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⇒ 55)

where

Skip: (*SKIP*, *s*) ⇒ *s* |

Assign: (*x* ::= *a*, *s*) ⇒ *s*(*x* := *aval a s*) |

Semi: $\llbracket (c_1, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; (c_2, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(c_1; c_2, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3$ |

IfTrue: $\llbracket \text{bval } b \text{ } s; (c_1, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2, s) \Rightarrow t$ |

IfFalse: $\llbracket \neg \text{bval } b \text{ } s; (c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2, s) \Rightarrow t$ |

WhileFalse: $\neg \text{bval } b \text{ } s \Longrightarrow (\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c, s) \Rightarrow s$ |

WhileTrue: $\llbracket \text{bval } b \text{ } s_1; (c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; (\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3$

schematic-lemma *ex*: (*0* ::= *N 5*; *2* ::= *V 0*, *s*) ⇒ ?*t*

apply(*rule Semi*)

apply(*rule Assign*)

apply *simp*

apply(*rule Assign*)

done

thm *ex[simplified]*

We want to execute the big-step rules:

code-pred *big-step* .

For inductive definitions we need command **values** instead of **value**.

values {*t*. (*SKIP*, *nth*[4]) ⇒ *t*}

We need to translate the result state into a list to display it. See function *list* in *Util*.

inductive *exec* **where**

(*c*, *nth ns*) ⇒ *s* ⇒ *exec c ns (list s (length ns))*

code-pred *exec* .

values {*ns*. *exec SKIP* [42,43] *ns*}

values {*ns*. *exec (0 ::= N 2)* [0] *ns*}

values $\{ns.$

exec

$(WHILE\ Less\ (V\ 0)\ (V\ 1)\ DO\ (0\ ::= Plus\ (V\ 0)\ (N\ 5)))$
 $[0,13]\ ns\}$

Note: *exec* only defined for executing the semantics, not for proofs.

Proof automation:

declare *big-step.intros* [*intro*]

The standard induction rule

$\llbracket x1 \Rightarrow x2; \wedge s. P\ (SKIP, s)\ s; \wedge x\ a\ s. P\ (x ::= a, s)\ (s(x := aval\ a\ s)) \rrbracket;$
 $\wedge c1\ s1\ s2\ c2\ s3.$
 $\llbracket (c1, s1) \Rightarrow s2; P\ (c1, s1)\ s2; (c2, s2) \Rightarrow s3; P\ (c2, s2)\ s3 \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow P\ (c1; c2, s1)\ s3;$
 $\wedge b\ s\ c1\ t\ c2.$
 $\llbracket bval\ b\ s; (c1, s) \Rightarrow t; P\ (c1, s)\ t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c1\ ELSE\ c2, s)$
 $t;$
 $\wedge b\ s\ c2\ t\ c1.$
 $\llbracket \neg\ bval\ b\ s; (c2, s) \Rightarrow t; P\ (c2, s)\ t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c1\ ELSE\ c2,$
 $s)\ t;$
 $\wedge b\ s\ c. \neg\ bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow P\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s)\ s;$
 $\wedge b\ s1\ c\ s2\ s3.$
 $\llbracket bval\ b\ s1; (c, s1) \Rightarrow s2; P\ (c, s1)\ s2; (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s2) \Rightarrow s3;$
 $P\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s2)\ s3 \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow P\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s1)\ s3]$
 $\Longrightarrow P\ x1\ x2$

thm *big-step.induct*

A customized induction rule for (c,s) pairs:

lemmas *big-step-induct* = *big-step.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

thm *big-step-induct*

$\llbracket (x1a, x1b) \Rightarrow x2a; \wedge s. P\ SKIP\ s\ s; \wedge x\ a\ s. P\ (x ::= a)\ s\ (s(x := aval\ a\ s)) \rrbracket;$
 $\wedge c1\ s1\ s2\ c2\ s3.$
 $\llbracket (c1, s1) \Rightarrow s2; P\ c1\ s1\ s2; (c2, s2) \Rightarrow s3; P\ c2\ s2\ s3 \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow P\ (c1; c2)\ s1\ s3;$
 $\wedge b\ s\ c1\ t\ c2.$
 $\llbracket bval\ b\ s; (c1, s) \Rightarrow t; P\ c1\ s\ t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c1\ ELSE\ c2)\ s\ t;$
 $\wedge b\ s\ c2\ t\ c1.$
 $\llbracket \neg\ bval\ b\ s; (c2, s) \Rightarrow t; P\ c2\ s\ t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c1\ ELSE\ c2)\ s\ t;$
 $\wedge b\ s\ c. \neg\ bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow P\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ s\ s;$

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge b \ s_1 \ c \ s_2 \ s_3. \\ & \llbracket \text{bval } b \ s_1; (c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; P \ c \ s_1 \ s_2; (\text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3; \\ & \quad P \ (\text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c) \ s_2 \ s_3 \rrbracket \\ & \implies P \ (\text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c) \ s_1 \ s_3 \rrbracket \\ \implies & P \ x1a \ x1b \ x2a \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Rule inversion

What can we deduce from $(\text{SKIP}, s) \Rightarrow t$? That $s = t$. This is how we can automatically prove it:

inductive-cases *skipE*[*elim!*]: $(\text{SKIP}, s) \Rightarrow t$

thm *skipE*

This is an *elimination rule*. The [elim] attribute tells auto, blast and friends (but not simp!) to use it automatically; [elim!] means that it is applied eagerly.

Similarly for the other commands:

inductive-cases *AssignE*[*elim!*]: $(x ::= a, s) \Rightarrow t$

thm *AssignE*

inductive-cases *SemiE*[*elim!*]: $(c1; c2, s1) \Rightarrow s3$

thm *SemiE*

inductive-cases *IfE*[*elim!*]: $(\text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c1 \ \text{ELSE } c2, s) \Rightarrow t$

thm *IfE*

inductive-cases *WhileE*[*elim!*]: $(\text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c, s) \Rightarrow t$

thm *WhileE*

Only [elim]: [elim!] would not terminate.

An automatic example:

lemma $(\text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } \text{SKIP} \ \text{ELSE } \text{SKIP}, s) \Rightarrow t \implies t = s$

by *blast*

Rule inversion by hand via the “cases” method:

lemma assumes $(\text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } \text{SKIP} \ \text{ELSE } \text{SKIP}, s) \Rightarrow t$

shows $t = s$

proof—

from *assms* **show** *?thesis*

proof *cases* — inverting *assms*

case *IfTrue* **thm** *IfTrue*

thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*

next

case *IfFalse* **thus** *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

qed

3.4 Command Equivalence

We call two statements c and c' equivalent wrt. the big-step semantics when c started in s terminates in s' iff c' started in the same s also terminates in the same s' . Formally:

abbreviation

$equiv-c :: com \Rightarrow com \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \sim 50) **where**
 $c \sim c' == (\forall s t. (c,s) \Rightarrow t = (c',s) \Rightarrow t)$

Warning: \sim is the symbol written $\backslash < \text{sim} >$ (without spaces).

As an example, we show that loop unfolding is an equivalence transformation on programs:

lemma *unfold-while*:

$(WHILE\ b\ DO\ c) \sim (IF\ b\ THEN\ c;\ WHILE\ b\ DO\ c\ ELSE\ SKIP)$ (**is** $?w \sim ?iw$)

proof –

- to show the equivalence, we look at the derivation tree for
- each side and from that construct a derivation tree for the other side

{ **fix** $s\ t$ **assume** $(?w, s) \Rightarrow t$

- as a first thing we note that, if b is *False* in state s ,
- then both statements do nothing:

{ **assume** $\neg bval\ b\ s$
hence $t = s$ **using** $\langle (?w, s) \Rightarrow t \rangle$ **by** *blast*
hence $(?iw, s) \Rightarrow t$ **using** $\langle \neg bval\ b\ s \rangle$ **by** *blast*
}

moreover

- on the other hand, if b is *True* in state s ,
- then only the *WhileTrue* rule can have been used to derive $(?w, s)$

$\Rightarrow t$

{ **assume** $bval\ b\ s$
with $\langle (?w, s) \Rightarrow t \rangle$ **obtain** s' **where**
 $(c, s) \Rightarrow s'$ **and** $(?w, s') \Rightarrow t$ **by** *auto*
– now we can build a derivation tree for the *IF*
– first, the body of the *True*-branch:
hence $(c; ?w, s) \Rightarrow t$ **by** (*rule Semi*)
– then the whole *IF*
with $\langle bval\ b\ s \rangle$ **have** $(?iw, s) \Rightarrow t$ **by** (*rule IfTrue*)
}

ultimately

- both cases together give us what we want:
have $(?iw, s) \Rightarrow t$ **by** *blast*

}

moreover

- now the other direction:

{ fix $s\ t$ assume $\langle ?iw, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 — again, if b is *False* in state s , then the False-branch
 — of the *IF* is executed, and both statements do nothing:
{ assume $\neg bval\ b\ s$
 hence $s = t$ using $\langle \langle ?iw, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rangle$ by *blast*
 hence $\langle ?w, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ using $\langle \neg bval\ b\ s \rangle$ by *blast*
}
moreover
 — on the other hand, if b is *True* in state s ,
 — then this time only the *IfTrue* rule can have be used
{ assume $bval\ b\ s$
 with $\langle \langle ?iw, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rangle$ have $\langle c; ?w, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ by *auto*
 — and for this, only the Semi-rule is applicable:
 then obtain s' where
 $\langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'$ and $\langle ?w, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t$ by *auto*
 — with this information, we can build a derivation tree for the *WHILE*
 with $\langle bval\ b\ s \rangle$
 have $\langle ?w, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ by (rule *WhileTrue*)
}
ultimately
 — both cases together again give us what we want:
have $\langle ?w, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ by *blast*
}
ultimately
show $?thesis$ by *blast*
qed

Luckily, such lengthy proofs are seldom necessary. Isabelle can prove many such facts automatically.

lemma

$(WHILE\ b\ DO\ c) \sim (IF\ b\ THEN\ c;\ WHILE\ b\ DO\ c\ ELSE\ SKIP)$
by *blast*

lemma *triv-if*:

$(IF\ b\ THEN\ c\ ELSE\ c) \sim c$
by *blast*

lemma *commute-if*:

$(IF\ b1\ THEN\ (IF\ b2\ THEN\ c11\ ELSE\ c12)\ ELSE\ c2)$
 \sim
 $(IF\ b2\ THEN\ (IF\ b1\ THEN\ c11\ ELSE\ c2)\ ELSE\ (IF\ b1\ THEN\ c12\ ELSE\ c2))$
by *blast*

3.5 Execution is deterministic

This proof is automatic.

```
theorem big-step-determ:  $\llbracket (c,s) \Rightarrow t; (c,s) \Rightarrow u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow u = t$   
apply (induct arbitrary: u rule: big-step.induct)  
apply blast+  
done
```

This is the proof as you might present it in a lecture. The remaining cases are simple enough to be proved automatically:

theorem

$(c,s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow (c,s) \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow t' = t$

proof (*induct arbitrary: t' rule: big-step.induct*)

— the only interesting case, *WhileTrue*:

fix *b c s s1 t t'*

— The assumptions of the rule:

assume *bval b s and (c,s) \Rightarrow s1 and (WHILE b DO c,s1) \Rightarrow t*

— Ind.Hyp; note the \wedge because of arbitrary:

assume *IHc: $\wedge t'. (c,s) \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow t' = s1$*

assume *IHw: $\wedge t'. (WHILE b DO c,s1) \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow t' = t$*

— Premise of implication:

assume (*WHILE b DO c,s*) \Rightarrow *t'*

with (*bval b s*) **obtain** *s1'* **where**

c: (*c,s*) \Rightarrow *s1'* **and**

w: (*WHILE b DO c,s1'*) \Rightarrow *t'*

by *auto*

from *c IHc* **have** *s1' = s1* **by** *blast*

with *w IHw* **show** *t' = t* **by** *blast*

qed *blast+* — prove the rest automatically

end

4 Small-Step Semantics of Commands

theory *Small-Step* **imports** *Big-Step* **begin**

4.1 The transition relation

inductive

small-step :: *com * state \Rightarrow com * state \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** \rightarrow 55)

where

Assign: (*x ::= a, s*) \rightarrow (*SKIP, s(x := aval a s)*) |

Semi1: $(SKIP; c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_2, s) \mid$
Semi2: $(c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s') \implies (c_1; c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_1'; c_2, s') \mid$

IfTrue: $bval\ b\ s \implies (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_1, s) \mid$
IfFalse: $\neg bval\ b\ s \implies (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_2, s) \mid$

While: $(WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s) \rightarrow (IF\ b\ THEN\ c;\ WHILE\ b\ DO\ c\ ELSE\ SKIP, s)$

inductive

small-steps :: $com * state \Rightarrow com * state \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \rightarrow^* 55) **where**
refl: $cs \rightarrow^* cs \mid$
step: $cs \rightarrow cs' \implies cs' \rightarrow^* cs'' \implies cs \rightarrow^* cs''$

4.2 Executability

code-pred *small-step* .
code-pred *small-steps* .

inductive *execl* :: $com \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow com \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
small-steps $(c, nth\ ns)\ (c', t) \implies execl\ c\ ns\ c'\ (list\ t\ (size\ ns))$

code-pred *execl* .

values $\{(c', t) . execl\ (0 ::= V\ 2;\ 1 ::= V\ 0)\ [3, 7, 5]\ c'\ t\}$

4.3 Proof infrastructure

4.3.1 Induction rules

The default induction rule *small-step.induct* only works for lemmas of the form $a \rightarrow b \implies \dots$ where a and b are not already pairs (*DUMMY, DUMMY*). We can generate a suitable variant of *small-step.induct* for pairs by “splitting” the arguments \rightarrow into pairs:

lemmas *small-step-induct* = *small-step.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

Similarly for \rightarrow^* :

lemmas *small-steps-induct* = *small-steps.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

4.3.2 Proof automation

declare *small-step.intros*[*simp, intro*]
declare *small-steps.refl*[*simp, intro*]

lemma *step1*[*simp, intro*]: $cs \rightarrow cs' \implies cs \rightarrow^* cs'$
by(*metis refl step*)

So called transitivity rules. See below.

declare *step*[*trans*] *step1*[*trans*]

lemma *step2*[*trans*]:
 $cs \rightarrow cs' \implies cs' \rightarrow cs'' \implies cs \rightarrow^* cs''$
by(*metis refl step*)

lemma *small-steps-trans*[*trans*]:
 $cs \rightarrow^* cs' \implies cs' \rightarrow^* cs'' \implies cs \rightarrow^* cs''$
proof(*induct rule: small-steps.induct*)
case *refl* **thus** ?*case* .
next
case *step* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*metis small-steps.step*)
qed

Rule inversion:

inductive-cases *SkipE*[*elim!*]: (*SKIP, s*) \rightarrow *ct*
thm *SkipE*
inductive-cases *AssignE*[*elim!*]: ($x ::= a, s$) \rightarrow *ct*
thm *AssignE*
inductive-cases *SemiE*[*elim*]: (*c1; c2, s*) \rightarrow *ct*
thm *SemiE*
inductive-cases *IfE*[*elim!*]: (*IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2, s*) \rightarrow *ct*
inductive-cases *WhileE*[*elim*]: (*WHILE b DO c, s*) \rightarrow *ct*

A simple property:

lemma *deterministic*:
 $cs \rightarrow cs' \implies cs \rightarrow cs'' \implies cs'' = cs'$
apply(*induct arbitrary: cs'' rule: small-step.induct*)
apply *blast+*
done

4.4 Equivalence with big-step semantics

lemma *rtrancl-semi2*: $(c1, s) \rightarrow^* (c1', s') \implies (c1; c2, s) \rightarrow^* (c1'; c2, s')$
proof(*induct rule: small-steps-induct*)
case *refl* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
case *step*
thus ?*case* **by** (*metis Semi2 small-steps.step*)
qed

lemma *semi-comp*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket (c1, s1) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s2); (c2, s2) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s3) \rrbracket \\ & \implies (c1; c2, s1) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s3) \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: small-steps.step rtrancl-semi2 small-steps-trans)

The following proof corresponds to one on the board where one would show chains of \rightarrow and \rightarrow^* steps. This is what the also/finally proof steps do: they compose chains, implicitly using the rules declared with attribute [trans] above.

lemma *big-to-small*:

$$cs \Rightarrow t \implies cs \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$$

proof (induct rule: big-step.induct)

fix s **show** $(SKIP, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s)$ **by** *simp*

next

fix $x a s$ **show** $(x ::= a, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s(x ::= \text{aval } a \ s))$ **by** *auto*

next

fix $c1 \ c2 \ s1 \ s2 \ s3$

assume $(c1, s1) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s2)$ **and** $(c2, s2) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s3)$

thus $(c1; c2, s1) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s3)$ **by** (rule *semi-comp*)

next

fix $s::\text{state}$ **and** $b \ c0 \ c1 \ t$

assume $\text{bval } b \ s$

hence $(IF \ b \ THEN \ c0 \ ELSE \ c1, s) \rightarrow (c0, s)$ **by** *simp*

also assume $(c0, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$

finally show $(IF \ b \ THEN \ c0 \ ELSE \ c1, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$. — = by assumption

next

fix $s::\text{state}$ **and** $b \ c0 \ c1 \ t$

assume $\neg \text{bval } b \ s$

hence $(IF \ b \ THEN \ c0 \ ELSE \ c1, s) \rightarrow (c1, s)$ **by** *simp*

also assume $(c1, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$

finally show $(IF \ b \ THEN \ c0 \ ELSE \ c1, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$.

next

fix $b \ c$ **and** $s::\text{state}$

assume $b: \neg \text{bval } b \ s$

let $?if = IF \ b \ THEN \ c; \ WHILE \ b \ DO \ c \ ELSE \ SKIP$

have $(WHILE \ b \ DO \ c, s) \rightarrow (?if, s)$ **by** *blast*

also have $(?if, s) \rightarrow (SKIP, s)$ **by** (*simp add: b*)

finally show $(WHILE \ b \ DO \ c, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s)$ **by** *auto*

next

fix $b \ c \ s \ s' \ t$

let $?w = WHILE \ b \ DO \ c$

let $?if = IF \ b \ THEN \ c; \ ?w \ ELSE \ SKIP$

```

assume  $w: (?w, s') \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$ 
assume  $c: (c, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, s')$ 
assume  $b: \text{bval } b \ s$ 
have  $(?w, s) \rightarrow (?if, s)$  by blast
also have  $(?if, s) \rightarrow (c; ?w, s)$  by (simp add: b)
also have  $(c; ?w, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$  by (rule semi-comp[OF c w])
finally show  $(WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s) \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$  by auto
qed

```

Each case of the induction can be proved automatically:

```

lemma  $cs \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow cs \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$ 
proof (induct rule: big-step.induct)
  case Skip show  $?case$  by blast
next
  case Assign show  $?case$  by blast
next
  case Semi thus  $?case$  by (blast intro: semi-comp)
next
  case IfTrue thus  $?case$  by (blast intro: step)
next
  case IfFalse thus  $?case$  by (blast intro: step)
next
  case WhileFalse thus  $?case$ 
    by (metis step step1 small-step.IfFalse small-step.While)
next
  case WhileTrue
  thus  $?case$ 
    by (metis While semi-comp small-step.IfTrue step[of (a,b),standard])
qed

```

```

lemma small1-big-continue:
   $cs \rightarrow cs' \Longrightarrow cs' \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow cs \Rightarrow t$ 
apply (induct arbitrary: t rule: small-step.induct)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma small-big-continue:
   $cs \rightarrow^* cs' \Longrightarrow cs' \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow cs \Rightarrow t$ 
apply (induct rule: small-steps.induct)
apply (auto intro: small1-big-continue)
done

```

```

lemma small-to-big:  $cs \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t) \Longrightarrow cs \Rightarrow t$ 

```

by (*metis small-big-continue Skip*)

Finally, the equivalence theorem:

theorem *big-iff-small*:

$cs \Rightarrow t = cs \rightarrow^* (SKIP, t)$

by(*metis big-to-small small-to-big*)

4.5 Final configurations and infinite reductions

definition *final* $cs \longleftrightarrow \neg(EX\ cs'.\ cs \rightarrow cs')$

lemma *finalD*: $final\ (c,s) \Longrightarrow c = SKIP$

apply(*simp add: final-def*)

apply(*induct c*)

apply *blast+*

done

lemma *final-iff-SKIP*: $final\ (c,s) = (c = SKIP)$

by (*metis SkipE finalD final-def*)

Now we can show that \Rightarrow yields a final state iff \rightarrow terminates:

lemma *big-iff-small-termination*:

$(EX\ t.\ cs \Rightarrow t) \longleftrightarrow (EX\ cs'.\ cs \rightarrow^* cs' \wedge final\ cs')$

by(*simp add: big-iff-small final-iff-SKIP*)

This is the same as saying that the absence of a big step result is equivalent with absence of a terminating small step sequence, i.e. with nontermination. Since \rightarrow is deterministic, there is no difference between may and must terminate.

end

5 A Compiler for IMP

theory *Compiler* **imports** *Big-Step*

begin

5.1 Instructions and Stack Machine

datatype *instr* =

PUSH-N nat | *PUSH-V nat* | *ADD* |

STORE nat |

JMPF nat |

JMPB nat |

JMPFLESS nat |

JMPFGE nat

types *stack* = *nat list*
config = *nat* × *state* × *stack*

abbreviation *hd2 xs* == *hd(tl xs)*

abbreviation *tl2 xs* == *tl(tl xs)*

inductive *exec1* :: *instr list* ⇒ *config* ⇒ *config* ⇒ *bool*
((*-* / ⊢ (*-* → / *-*)) [50,0,0] 50)

for *P* :: *instr list*

where

[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *PUSH-N n*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*i+1,s, n#stk*) |
[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *PUSH-V x*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*i+1,s, s x # stk*) |
[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *ADD*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*i+1,s, (hd2 stk + hd stk) # tl2 stk*) |
[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *STORE n*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*i+1,s(n := hd stk),tl stk*) |
[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *JMPF n*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*i+1+n,s,stk*) |
[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *JMPB n*; *n* ≤ *i+1*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*i+1-n,s,stk*) |
[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *JMPFLESS n*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*if hd2 stk < hd stk then i+1+n else i+1,s,tl2 stk*) |
[[*i* < *size P*; *P!**i* = *JMPFGE n*]] ⇒
P ⊢ (*i,s,stk*) → (*if hd2 stk ≥ hd stk then i+1+n else i+1,s,tl2 stk*)

code-pred *exec1* .

declare *exec1.intros*[*intro*]

inductive *exec* :: *instr list* ⇒ *config* ⇒ *config* ⇒ *bool* (*-* / ⊢ (*-* →* / *-*) 50)

where

refl: *P* ⊢ *c* →* *c* |

step: *P* ⊢ *c* → *c'* ⇒ *P* ⊢ *c'* →* *c''* ⇒ *P* ⊢ *c* →* *c''*

declare *exec.intros*[*intro*]

lemmas *exec-induct* = *exec.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

code-pred *exec* .

Integrating the state to list transformation:

inductive *execl* :: *instr list* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat list* \Rightarrow *stack*
 \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat list* \Rightarrow *stack* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $P \vdash (i, nth\ ns, stk) \rightarrow^* (i', s', stk')$ \implies
execl $P\ i\ ns\ stk\ i'\ (list\ s'\ (size\ ns))\ stk'$

code-pred *execl* .

values $\{(i, ns, stk).\ execl\ [PUSH-V\ 1,\ STORE\ 0]\ 0\ [3,4]\ []\ i\ ns\ stk\}$

5.2 Verification infrastructure

lemma *exec-trans*: $P \vdash c \rightarrow^* c' \implies P \vdash c' \rightarrow^* c'' \implies P \vdash c \rightarrow^* c''$
apply(*induct rule: exec.induct*)
apply *blast*
by (*metis exec.step*)

lemma *exec1-subst*: $P \vdash c \rightarrow c' \implies c' = c'' \implies P \vdash c \rightarrow c''$
by *auto*

lemmas *exec1-simps* = *exec1.intros*[*THEN exec1-subst*]

Below we need to argue about the execution of code that is embedded in larger programs. For this purpose we show that execution is preserved by appending code to the left or right of a program.

lemma *exec1-appendR*: **assumes** $P \vdash c \rightarrow c'$ **shows** $P@P' \vdash c \rightarrow c'$
proof–
from *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by cases (*simp-all add: exec1-simps nth-append*)
— All cases proved with the final *simp-all*
qed

lemma *exec-appendR*: $P \vdash c \rightarrow^* c' \implies P@P' \vdash c \rightarrow^* c'$
apply(*induct rule: exec.induct*)
apply *blast*
by (*metis exec1-appendR exec.step*)

lemma *exec1-appendL*:
assumes $P \vdash (i, s, stk) \rightarrow (i', s', stk')$
shows $P' @ P \vdash (size(P') + i, s, stk) \rightarrow (size(P') + i', s', stk')$
proof–
from *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by cases (*simp-all add: exec1-simps*)
qed

lemma *exec-appendL*:

$$P \vdash (i, s, stk) \rightarrow^* (i', s', stk') \implies$$

$$P' @ P \vdash (size(P) + i, s, stk) \rightarrow^* (size(P) + i', s', stk')$$

apply(*induct rule: exec-induct*)
apply *blast*
by (*blast intro: exec1-appendL exec.step*)

Now we specialise the above lemmas to enable automatic proofs of $P \vdash c \rightarrow^* c'$ where P is a mixture of concrete instructions and pieces of code that we already know how they execute (by induction), combined by $@$ and $\#$. Backward jumps are not supported. The details should be skipped on a first reading.

If the pc points beyond the first instruction or part of the program, drop it:

lemma *exec-Cons-Suc*[*intro*]:

$$P \vdash (i, s, stk) \rightarrow^* (j, t, stk') \implies$$

$$instr \# P \vdash (Suc\ i, s, stk) \rightarrow^* (Suc\ j, t, stk')$$

apply(*drule exec-appendL[where P'=[instr]]*)
apply *simp*
done

lemma *exec-appendL-if*[*intro*]:

$$size\ P' \leq i$$

$$\implies P \vdash (i - size\ P', s, stk) \rightarrow^* (i', s', stk')$$

$$\implies P' @ P \vdash (i, s, stk) \rightarrow^* (size\ P' + i', s', stk')$$

apply(*drule exec-appendL[where P'=P']*)
apply *simp*
done

Split the execution of a compound program up into the execution of its parts:

lemma *exec-append-trans*[*intro*]:

$$P \vdash (0, s, stk) \rightarrow^* (i', s', stk') \implies$$

$$size\ P \leq i' \implies$$

$$P' \vdash (i' - size\ P, s', stk') \rightarrow^* (i'', s'', stk'')$$

$$j'' = size\ P + i''$$

$$\implies$$

$$P @ P' \vdash (0, s, stk) \rightarrow^* (j'', s'', stk'')$$

by(*metis exec-trans[OF exec-appendR exec-appendL-if]*)

declare *Let-def*[*simp*] *nat-number*[*simp*]

5.3 Compilation

```

fun acom :: aexp  $\Rightarrow$  instr list where
  acom (N n) = [PUSH-N n] |
  acom (V n) = [PUSH-V n] |
  acom (Plus a1 a2) = acom a1 @ acom a2 @ [ADD]

```

```

lemma acom-correct[intro]:
  acom a  $\vdash$  (0,s,stk)  $\rightarrow^*$  (size(acom a),s,aval a s#stk)
apply(induct a arbitrary: stk)
apply(fastsimp)+
done

```

```

fun bcomp :: bexp  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  instr list where
  bcomp (B v) c n = (if v=c then [JMPF n] else []) |
  bcomp (Not b) c n = bcomp b ( $\neg$ c) n |
  bcomp (And b1 b2) c n =
    (let cb2 = bcomp b2 c n;
      m = (if c then size cb2 else size cb2+n);
      cb1 = bcomp b1 False m
    in cb1 @ cb2) |
  bcomp (Less a1 a2) c n =
    acom a1 @ acom a2 @ (if c then [JMPFLESS n] else [JMPFGE n])

```

```

value bcomp (And (Less (V 0) (V 1)) (Not(Less (V 2) (V 3)))) False 3

```

```

lemma bcomp-correct[intro]:
  bcomp b c n  $\vdash$ 
  (0,s,stk)  $\rightarrow^*$  (size(bcomp b c n) + (if c = bval b s then n else 0),s,stk)
proof(induct b arbitrary: c n m)
  case Not
    from Not[of  $\sim$ c] show ?case by fastsimp
next
  case (And b1 b2)
    from And(1)[of False] And(2)[of c] show ?case by fastsimp
qed fastsimp+

```

```

fun ccomp :: com  $\Rightarrow$  instr list where
  ccomp SKIP = [] |
  ccomp (x ::= a) = acom a @ [STORE x] |
  ccomp (c1;c2) = ccomp c1 @ ccomp c2 |
  ccomp (IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2) =
    (let cc1 = ccomp c1; cc2 = ccomp c2; cb = bcomp b False (size cc1 + 1))

```

```

    in cb @ cc1 @ JMPF(size cc2) # cc2) |
  ccomp (WHILE b DO c) =
    (let cc = ccomp c; cb = bcomp b False (size cc + 1)
     in cb @ cc @ [JMPB (size cb + size cc + 1)])

value ccomp (IF Less (V 4) (N 1) THEN 4 ::= Plus (V 4) (N 1) ELSE 3
 ::= V 4)

```

```

value ccomp (WHILE Less (V 4) (N 1) DO (4 ::= Plus (V 4) (N 1)))

```

5.4 Preservation of semantics

lemma *ccomp-correct*:

$(c, s) \Rightarrow t \implies \text{ccomp } c \vdash (0, s, \text{stk}) \rightarrow^* (\text{size}(\text{ccomp } c), t, \text{stk})$

proof(*induct arbitrary: stk rule: big-step-induct*)

case (*Assign x a s*)

show *?case* **by** (*fastsimp simp:fun-upd-def*)

next

case (*Semi c1 s1 s2 c2 s3*)

let *?cc1 = ccomp c1 let ?cc2 = ccomp c2*

have *?cc1 @ ?cc2* $\vdash (0, s1, \text{stk}) \rightarrow^* (\text{size } ?cc1, s2, \text{stk})$

using *Semi.hyps(2)* **by** (*fastsimp*)

moreover

have *?cc1 @ ?cc2* $\vdash (\text{size } ?cc1, s2, \text{stk}) \rightarrow^* (\text{size} (?cc1 @ ?cc2), s3, \text{stk})$

using *Semi.hyps(4)* **by** (*fastsimp*)

ultimately show *?case* **by** *simp (blast intro: exec-trans)*

next

case (*WhileTrue b s1 c s2 s3*)

let *?cc = ccomp c*

let *?cb = bcomp b False (size ?cc + 1)*

let *?cw = ccomp(WHILE b DO c)*

have *?cw* $\vdash (0, s1, \text{stk}) \rightarrow^* (\text{size } ?cb + \text{size } ?cc, s2, \text{stk})$

using *WhileTrue(1,3)* **by** *fastsimp*

moreover

have *?cw* $\vdash (\text{size } ?cb + \text{size } ?cc, s2, \text{stk}) \rightarrow^* (0, s2, \text{stk})$

by (*fastsimp*)

moreover

have *?cw* $\vdash (0, s2, \text{stk}) \rightarrow^* (\text{size } ?cw, s3, \text{stk})$ **by**(*rule WhileTrue(5)*)

ultimately show *?case* **by**(*blast intro: exec-trans*)

qed *fastsimp+*

end

6 A Typed Language

theory *Types* **imports** *Complex-Main* **begin**

6.1 Arithmetic Expressions

datatype *val* = *Iv int* | *Rv real*

types

name = *nat*

state = *name* \Rightarrow *val*

datatype *aexp* = *Ic int* | *Rc real* | *V name* | *Plus aexp aexp*

inductive *taval* :: *aexp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *val* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

taval (*Ic i*) *s* (*Iv i*) |

taval (*Rc r*) *s* (*Rv r*) |

taval (*V x*) *s* (*s x*) |

taval *a*₁ *s* (*Iv i*₁) \Longrightarrow *taval* *a*₂ *s* (*Iv i*₂)

\Longrightarrow *taval* (*Plus a*₁ *a*₂) *s* (*Iv(i*₁+*i*₂*)*) |

taval *a*₁ *s* (*Rv r*₁) \Longrightarrow *taval* *a*₂ *s* (*Rv r*₂)

\Longrightarrow *taval* (*Plus a*₁ *a*₂) *s* (*Rv(r*₁+*r*₂*)*)

inductive-cases [*elim!*]:

taval (*Ic i*) *s* *v* *taval* (*Rc i*) *s* *v*

taval (*V x*) *s* *v*

taval (*Plus a*₁ *a*₂) *s* *v*

6.2 Boolean Expressions

datatype *bexp* = *B bool* | *Not bexp* | *And bexp bexp* | *Less aexp aexp*

inductive *tbval* :: *bexp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

tbval (*B bv*) *s* *bv* |

tbval *b* *s* *bv* \Longrightarrow *tbval* (*Not b*) *s* (\neg *bv*) |

tbval *b*₁ *s* *bv*₁ \Longrightarrow *tbval* *b*₂ *s* *bv*₂ \Longrightarrow *tbval* (*And b*₁ *b*₂) *s* (*bv*₁ & *bv*₂) |

taval *a*₁ *s* (*Iv i*₁) \Longrightarrow *taval* *a*₂ *s* (*Iv i*₂) \Longrightarrow *tbval* (*Less a*₁ *a*₂) *s* (*i*₁ < *i*₂) |

taval *a*₁ *s* (*Rv r*₁) \Longrightarrow *taval* *a*₂ *s* (*Rv r*₂) \Longrightarrow *tbval* (*Less a*₁ *a*₂) *s* (*r*₁ < *r*₂)

6.3 Syntax of Commands

datatype

com = *SKIP*

| *Assign name aexp* (- ::= - [1000, 61] 61)

<i>Semi</i> $com\ com$	($-; -$ - [60, 61] 60)
<i>If</i> $bexp\ com\ com$	(<i>IF</i> - <i>THEN</i> - <i>ELSE</i> - [0, 0, 61] 61)
<i>While</i> $bexp\ com$	(<i>WHILE</i> - <i>DO</i> - [0, 61] 61)

6.4 Small-Step Semantics of Commands

inductive

small-step :: ($com \times state$) \Rightarrow ($com \times state$) \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \rightarrow 55)

where

Assign: $taval\ a\ s\ v \Longrightarrow (x ::= a, s) \rightarrow (SKIP, s(x := v))$ |

Semi1: $(SKIP; c, s) \rightarrow (c, s)$ |

Semi2: $(c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s') \Longrightarrow (c_1; c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_1'; c_2, s')$ |

IfTrue: $tbval\ b\ s\ True \Longrightarrow (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_1, s)$ |

IfFalse: $tbval\ b\ s\ False \Longrightarrow (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_2, s)$ |

While: $(WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s) \rightarrow (IF\ b\ THEN\ c; WHILE\ b\ DO\ c\ ELSE\ SKIP, s)$

lemmas *small-step-induct* = *small-step.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

6.5 The Type System

datatype *ty* = *Itty* | *Rty*

types *tyenv* = *name* \Rightarrow *ty*

inductive *atyping* :: *tyenv* \Rightarrow *aexp* \Rightarrow *ty* \Rightarrow *bool*

(($1-/ \vdash / (- : / -)$) [50, 0, 50] 50)

where

Ic-ty: $\Gamma \vdash Ic\ i : Itty$ |

Rc-ty: $\Gamma \vdash Rc\ r : Rty$ |

V-ty: $\Gamma \vdash V\ x : \Gamma\ x$ |

Plus-ty: $\Gamma \vdash a_1 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash a_2 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash Plus\ a_1\ a_2 : \tau$

Warning: the “:” notation leads to syntactic ambiguities, i.e. multiple parse trees, because “:” also stands for set membership. In most situations Isabelle’s type system will reject all but one parse tree, but will still inform you of the potential ambiguity.

inductive *btyping* :: *tyenv* \Rightarrow *bexp* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \vdash 50)

where

B-ty: $\Gamma \vdash B\ bv$ |

Not-ty: $\Gamma \vdash b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash Not\ b$ |

And-ty: $\Gamma \vdash b_1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash b_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash And\ b_1\ b_2$ |

Less-ty: $\Gamma \vdash a_1 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash a_2 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{Less } a_1 \ a_2$

inductive *ctyping* :: *tyenv* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \vdash 50) **where**

Skip-ty: $\Gamma \vdash \text{SKIP} \mid$

Assign-ty: $\Gamma \vdash a : \Gamma(x) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash x ::= a \mid$

Semi-ty: $\Gamma \vdash c_1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_1; c_2 \mid$

If-ty: $\Gamma \vdash b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2 \mid$

While-ty: $\Gamma \vdash b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c$

inductive-cases [*elim!*]:

$\Gamma \vdash x ::= a \ \Gamma \vdash c_1; c_2$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c$

6.6 Well-typed Programs Do Not Get Stuck

fun *type* :: *val* \Rightarrow *ty* **where**

type (*Iv* *i*) = *Ity* \mid

type (*Rv* *r*) = *Rty*

lemma [*simp*]: *type* *v* = *Ity* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists i. v = \text{Iv } i$)

by (*cases* *v*) *simp-all*

lemma [*simp*]: *type* *v* = *Rty* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists r. v = \text{Rv } r$)

by (*cases* *v*) *simp-all*

definition *styping* :: *tyenv* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \vdash 50)

where $\Gamma \vdash s \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{type } (s \ x) = \Gamma \ x)$

lemma *apreservation*:

$\Gamma \vdash a : \tau \Longrightarrow \text{taval } a \ s \ v \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash s \Longrightarrow \text{type } v = \tau$

apply(*induct arbitrary*: *v* *rule*: *atyping.induct*)

apply (*fastsimp simp*: *styping-def*)+

done

lemma *aprogess*: $\Gamma \vdash a : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash s \Longrightarrow \exists v. \text{taval } a \ s \ v$

proof(*induct rule*: *atyping.induct*)

case (*Plus-ty* $\Gamma \ a1 \ t \ a2$)

then obtain *v1 v2* **where** *v*: *taval* *a1* *s* *v1* *taval* *a2* *s* *v2* **by** *blast*

show *?case*

proof (*cases* *v1*)

case *Iv*

with *Plus-ty*(1,3,5) *v* **show** *?thesis*

by(*fastsimp intro*: *taval.intros*(4) *dest!*: *apreservation*)

```

next
  case Rv
  with Plus-ty(1,3,5) v show ?thesis
  by(fastsimp intro: taval.intros(5) dest!: apreservation)
qed
qed (auto intro: taval.intros)

```

```

lemma bprogress:  $\Gamma \vdash b \implies \Gamma \vdash s \implies \exists v. \text{tval } b \text{ s } v$ 
proof(induct rule: btyping.induct)
  case (Less-ty  $\Gamma$  a1 t a2)
  then obtain v1 v2 where v: taval a1 s v1 taval a2 s v2
  by (metis aprogress)
  show ?case
  proof (cases v1)
    case Iv
    with Less-ty v show ?thesis
    by (fastsimp intro!: tval.intros(4) dest!: apreservation)
  next
  case Rv
  with Less-ty v show ?thesis
  by (fastsimp intro!: tval.intros(5) dest!: apreservation)
  qed
qed (auto intro: tval.intros)

```

```

theorem progress:
 $\Gamma \vdash c \implies \Gamma \vdash s \implies c \neq \text{SKIP} \implies \exists cs'. (c,s) \rightarrow cs'$ 
proof(induct rule: ctyping.induct)
  case Skip-ty thus ?case by simp
next
  case Assign-ty
  thus ?case by (metis Assign aprogress)
next
  case Semi-ty thus ?case by simp (metis Semi1 Semi2)
next
  case (If-ty  $\Gamma$  b c1 c2)
  then obtain bv where tval b s bv by (metis bprogress)
  show ?case
  proof(cases bv)
    assume bv
    with (tval b s bv) show ?case by simp (metis IfTrue)
  next
  assume  $\neg bv$ 
  with (tval b s bv) show ?case by simp (metis IfFalse)
  qed

```

```

next
  case While-ty show ?case by (metis While)
qed

theorem styping-preservation:
   $(c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \implies \Gamma \vdash c \implies \Gamma \vdash s \implies \Gamma \vdash s'$ 
proof(induct rule: small-step-induct)
  case Assign thus ?case
  by (auto simp: styping-def) (metis Assign(1,3) apreservation)
qed auto

```

```

theorem ctyping-preservation:
   $(c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \implies \Gamma \vdash c \implies \Gamma \vdash c'$ 
by (induct rule: small-step-induct) (auto simp: ctyping.intros)

```

```

inductive
  small-steps :: com * state  $\Rightarrow$  com * state  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\rightarrow^*$  55) where
  refl: cs  $\rightarrow^*$  cs |
  step: cs  $\rightarrow$  cs'  $\implies$  cs'  $\rightarrow^*$  cs''  $\implies$  cs  $\rightarrow^*$  cs''

```

```

lemmas small-steps-induct = small-steps.induct[split-format(complete)]

```

```

theorem type-sound:
   $(c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',s') \implies \Gamma \vdash c \implies \Gamma \vdash s \implies c' \neq \text{SKIP}$ 
   $\implies \exists cs''. (c',s') \rightarrow cs''$ 
apply(induct rule:small-steps-induct)
apply (metis progress)
by (metis styping-preservation ctyping-preservation)

```

```

end

```

```

theory Poly-Types imports Types begin

```

6.7 Type Variables

```

datatype ty = Ity | Rty | TV nat

```

Everything else remains the same.

```

types tyenv = name  $\Rightarrow$  ty

```

```

inductive atyping :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  aexp  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  ((1-/  $\vdash$ p/ (- :/ -)) [50,0,50] 50)
where
   $\Gamma \vdash p$  Ic i : Ity |

```

$\Gamma \vdash_p Rc\ r : Rty \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p V\ x : \Gamma\ x \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p a_1 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p a_2 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p Plus\ a_1\ a_2 : \tau$

inductive *btyping* :: *tyenv* \Rightarrow *bexp* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \vdash_p 50)

where

$\Gamma \vdash_p B\ bv \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p Not\ b \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p b_1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p b_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p And\ b_1\ b_2 \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p a_1 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p a_2 : \tau \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p Less\ a_1\ a_2$

inductive *ctyping* :: *tyenv* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \vdash_p 50) **where**

$\Gamma \vdash_p SKIP \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p a : \Gamma(x) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p x ::= a \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p c_1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c_1; c_2 \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c_1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2 \mid$
 $\Gamma \vdash_p b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p WHILE\ b\ DO\ c$

fun *type* :: *val* \Rightarrow *ty* **where**

type (*Iv* *i*) = *Ity* \mid
type (*Rv* *r*) = *Rty*

definition *styping* :: *tyenv* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \vdash_p 50)

where $\Gamma \vdash_p s \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. type\ (s\ x) = \Gamma\ x)$

fun *tsubst* :: (*nat* \Rightarrow *ty*) \Rightarrow *ty* \Rightarrow *ty* **where**

tsubst *S* (*TV* *n*) = *S* *n* \mid
tsubst *S* *t* = *t*

6.8 Typing is Preserved by Substitution

lemma *subst-atyping*: $E \vdash_p a : t \Longrightarrow tsubst\ S \circ E \vdash_p a : tsubst\ S\ t$

apply(*induct* *rule*: *atyping.induct*)

apply(*auto* *intro*: *atyping.intros*)

done

lemma *subst-btyping*: $E \vdash_p (b::bexp) \Longrightarrow tsubst\ S \circ E \vdash_p b$

apply(*induct* *rule*: *btyping.induct*)

apply(*auto* *intro*: *btyping.intros*)

apply(*drule* *subst-atyping*[**where** *S=S*])

apply(*drule* *subst-atyping*[**where** *S=S*])

apply(*simp* *add*: *o-def* *btyping.intros*)

done

```

lemma subst-ctyping:  $E \vdash p (c::com) \implies tsubst S \circ E \vdash p c$ 
apply(induct rule: ctyping.induct)
apply(auto intro: ctyping.intros)
apply(drule subst-atyping[where S=S])
apply(simp add: o-def ctyping.intros)
apply(drule subst-btyping[where S=S])
apply(simp add: o-def ctyping.intros)
apply(drule subst-btyping[where S=S])
apply(simp add: o-def ctyping.intros)
done

end

```

7 Definite Assignment Analysis

```

theory Vars imports Util BExp
begin

```

7.1 The Variables in an Expression

We need to collect the variables in both arithmetic and boolean expressions. For a change we do not introduce two functions, e.g. *avars* and *bvars*, but we overload the name *vars* via a *type class*, a device that originated with Haskell:

```

class vars =
fixes vars :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  name set

```

This defines a type class “vars” with a single function of (coincidentally) the same name. Then we define two separated instances of the class, one for *aexp* and one for *bexp*:

```

instantiation aexp :: vars
begin

```

```

fun vars-aexp :: aexp  $\Rightarrow$  name set where
vars-aexp (N n) = {} |
vars-aexp (V x) = {x} |
vars-aexp (Plus a1 a2) = vars-aexp a1  $\cup$  vars-aexp a2

```

```

instance ..
end

```

```
value vars(Plus (V 3) (V 2))
```

We need to convert functions to lists before we can view them:

```
value list (vars(Plus (V 3) (V 2))) 4
```

```
instantiation bexp :: vars  
begin
```

```
fun vars-bexp :: bexp  $\Rightarrow$  name set where  
vars-bexp (B bv) = {} |  
vars-bexp (Not b) = vars-bexp b |  
vars-bexp (And b1 b2) = vars-bexp b1  $\cup$  vars-bexp b2 |  
vars-bexp (Less a1 a2) = vars a1  $\cup$  vars a2
```

```
instance ..
```

```
end
```

```
value list (vars(Less (Plus (V 3) (V 2)) (V 1))) 5
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
eq-on :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
((- =/ -/ on -) [50,0,50] 50) where  
f = g on X ==  $\forall x \in X. f x = g x$ 
```

```
lemma aval-eq-if-eq-on-vars[simp]:
```

```
s1 = s2 on vars a  $\implies$  aval a s1 = aval a s2
```

```
apply(induct a)
```

```
apply simp-all
```

```
done
```

```
lemma bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars:
```

```
s1 = s2 on vars b  $\implies$  bval b s1 = bval b s2
```

```
proof(induct b)
```

```
case (Less a1 a2)
```

```
hence aval a1 s1 = aval a1 s2 and aval a2 s1 = aval a2 s2 by simp-all
```

```
thus ?case by simp
```

```
qed simp-all
```

```
end
```

```
theory Def-Ass imports Vars Com
```

```
begin
```


7.2 Definite Assignment Analysis

inductive $D :: \text{name set} \Rightarrow \text{com} \Rightarrow \text{name set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
Skip: $D A \text{ SKIP } A \mid$
Assign: $\text{vars } a \subseteq A \Longrightarrow D A (x ::= a) (\text{insert } x A) \mid$
Semi: $\llbracket D A_1 c_1 A_2; D A_2 c_2 A_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow D A_1 (c_1; c_2) A_3 \mid$
If: $\llbracket \text{vars } b \subseteq A; D A c_1 A_1; D A c_2 A_2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $D A (\text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2) (A_1 \text{ Int } A_2) \mid$
While: $\llbracket \text{vars } b \subseteq A; D A c A' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow D A (\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c) A$

inductive-cases [*elim!*]:
 $D A \text{ SKIP } A'$
 $D A (x ::= a) A'$
 $D A (c_1; c_2) A'$
 $D A (\text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2) A'$
 $D A (\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c) A'$

lemma *D-incr*:
 $D A c A' \Longrightarrow A \subseteq A'$
by (*induct rule: D.induct*) *auto*

end

theory *Def-Ass-Exp* **imports** *Vars*
begin

7.3 Initialization-Sensitive Expressions Evaluation

types
 $\text{val} = \text{nat}$
 $\text{state} = \text{name} \Rightarrow \text{val option}$

fun *aval* :: $\text{aexp} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{val option}$ **where**
aval ($N i$) $s = \text{Some } i \mid$
aval ($V x$) $s = s x \mid$
aval ($\text{Plus } a_1 a_2$) $s =$
 (*case* (*aval* $a_1 s$, *aval* $a_2 s$) *of*
 ($\text{Some } i_1, \text{Some } i_2$) $\Rightarrow \text{Some}(i_1+i_2) \mid - \Rightarrow \text{None}$)

fun *bval* :: $\text{bexp} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool option}$ **where**

$bval (B \ bv) \ s = \text{Some } bv \mid$
 $bval (\text{Not } b) \ s = (\text{case } bval \ b \ s \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow None \mid \text{Some } bv \Rightarrow \text{Some}(\neg \ bv))$
 \mid
 $bval (\text{And } \ b_1 \ b_2) \ s = (\text{case } (bval \ b_1 \ s, \ bval \ b_2 \ s) \ \text{of}$
 $\quad (\text{Some } bv_1, \ \text{Some } bv_2) \Rightarrow \text{Some}(bv_1 \ \& \ bv_2) \mid - \Rightarrow None) \mid$
 $bval (\text{Less } \ a_1 \ a_2) \ s = (\text{case } (aval \ a_1 \ s, \ aval \ a_2 \ s) \ \text{of}$
 $\quad (\text{Some } i_1, \ \text{Some } i_2) \Rightarrow \text{Some}(i_1 < i_2) \mid - \Rightarrow None)$

lemma *aval-Some*: $\text{vars } a \subseteq \text{dom } s \implies \exists \ i. \ \text{aval } a \ s = \text{Some } i$
by (*induct a*) *auto*

lemma *bval-Some*: $\text{vars } b \subseteq \text{dom } s \implies \exists \ bv. \ bval \ b \ s = \text{Some } bv$
by (*induct b*) (*auto dest!:* *aval-Some*)

end

theory *Def-Ass-Big* **imports** *Com Def-Ass-Exp*
begin

7.4 Initialization-Sensitive Big Step Semantics

inductive

big-step :: $(\text{com} \times \text{state option}) \Rightarrow \text{state option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \Rightarrow 55)

where

None: $(c, \text{None}) \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid$

Skip: $(\text{SKIP}, s) \Rightarrow s \mid$

AssignNone: $\text{aval } a \ s = \text{None} \implies (x ::= a, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid$

Assign: $\text{aval } a \ s = \text{Some } i \implies (x ::= a, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow \text{Some}(s(x := \text{Some } i))$

\mid

Semi: $(c_1, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2 \implies (c_2, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \implies (c_1; c_2, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3 \mid$

IfNone: $bval \ b \ s = \text{None} \implies (\text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid$

IfTrue: $\llbracket bval \ b \ s = \text{Some } \text{True}; \ (c_1, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow s' \rrbracket \implies$

$(\text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow s' \mid$

IfFalse: $\llbracket bval \ b \ s = \text{Some } \text{False}; \ (c_2, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow s' \rrbracket \implies$

$(\text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow s' \mid$

WhileNone: $bval \ b \ s = \text{None} \implies (\text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid$

WhileFalse: $bval \ b \ s = \text{Some } \text{False} \implies (\text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c, \ \text{Some } s) \Rightarrow \text{Some } s \mid$

WhileTrue:

```

  [[ bval b s = Some True; (c,Some s) ⇒ s'; (WHILE b DO c,s') ⇒ s'' ]]
  ⇒
  (WHILE b DO c,Some s) ⇒ s''

```

```

lemmas big-step-induct = big-step.induct[split-format(complete)]

```

```

end

```

```

theory Def-Ass-Sound-Big imports Def-Ass Def-Ass-Big
begin

```

7.5 Soundness wrt Big Steps

Note the special form of the induction because one of the arguments of the inductive predicate is not a variable but the term *Some s*:

theorem *Sound*:

```

  [[ (c,Some s) ⇒ s'; D A c A'; A ⊆ dom s ]]
  ⇒ ∃ t. s' = Some t ∧ A' ⊆ dom t

```

proof (induct c Some s s' arbitrary: s A A' rule:big-step-induct)

```

  case AssignNone thus ?case

```

```

    by auto (metis aval-Some option.simps(3) subset-trans)

```

```

next

```

```

  case Semi thus ?case by auto metis

```

```

next

```

```

  case IfTrue thus ?case by auto blast

```

```

next

```

```

  case IfFalse thus ?case by auto blast

```

```

next

```

```

  case IfNone thus ?case

```

```

    by auto (metis bval-Some option.simps(3) order-trans)

```

```

next

```

```

  case WhileNone thus ?case

```

```

    by auto (metis bval-Some option.simps(3) order-trans)

```

```

next

```

```

  case (WhileTrue b s c s' s'')

```

```

  from ⟨D A (WHILE b DO c) A'⟩ obtain A' where D A c A' by blast

```

```

  then obtain t' where s' = Some t' A' ⊆ dom t'

```

```

    by (metis D-incr WhileTrue(3,7) subset-trans)

```

```

  from WhileTrue(5)[OF this(1) WhileTrue(6) this(2)] show ?case .

```

```

qed auto

```

```

corollary sound: [[ D (dom s) c A'; (c,Some s) ⇒ s' ]] ⇒ s' ≠ None

```

by (*metis Sound not-Some-eq subset-refl*)

end

8 Live Variable Analysis

theory *Live* **imports** *Vars Big-Step*
begin

8.1 Liveness Analysis

fun *L* :: *com* \Rightarrow *name set* \Rightarrow *name set* **where**

L SKIP $X = X$ |

L ($x ::= a$) $X = X - \{x\} \cup \text{vars } a$ |

L ($c_1; c_2$) $X = (L \ c_1 \circ L \ c_2) \ X$ |

L (*IF* b *THEN* c_1 *ELSE* c_2) $X = \text{vars } b \cup L \ c_1 \ X \cup L \ c_2 \ X$ |

L (*WHILE* b *DO* c) $X = \text{vars } b \cup X \cup L \ c \ X$

value *list* ($L \ (1 ::= V \ 2; 0 ::= Plus \ (V \ 1) \ (V \ 2)) \ \{0\}$) *3*

value *list* ($L \ (WHILE \ Less \ (V \ 0) \ (V \ 0) \ DO \ 1 ::= V \ 2) \ \{0\}$) *3*

fun *kill* :: *com* \Rightarrow *name set* **where**

kill SKIP = $\{\}$ |

kill ($x ::= a$) = $\{x\}$ |

kill ($c_1; c_2$) = $kill \ c_1 \cup kill \ c_2$ |

kill (*IF* b *THEN* c_1 *ELSE* c_2) = $kill \ c_1 \cap kill \ c_2$ |

kill (*WHILE* b *DO* c) = $\{\}$

fun *gen* :: *com* \Rightarrow *name set* **where**

gen SKIP = $\{\}$ |

gen ($x ::= a$) = $\text{vars } a$ |

gen ($c_1; c_2$) = $gen \ c_1 \cup (gen \ c_2 - kill \ c_1)$ |

gen (*IF* b *THEN* c_1 *ELSE* c_2) = $\text{vars } b \cup gen \ c_1 \cup gen \ c_2$ |

gen (*WHILE* b *DO* c) = $\text{vars } b \cup gen \ c$

lemma *L-gen-kill*: $L \ c \ X = (X - kill \ c) \cup gen \ c$

by(*induct c arbitrary:X*) *auto*

lemma *L-While-subset*: $L \ c \ (L \ (WHILE \ b \ DO \ c) \ X) \subseteq L \ (WHILE \ b \ DO \ c) \ X$

by(*auto simp add:L-gen-kill*)

8.2 Soundness

theorem *L-sound*:

$(c, s) \Rightarrow s' \implies s = t \text{ on } L \ c \ X \implies$
 $\exists t'. (c, t) \Rightarrow t' \ \& \ s' = t' \text{ on } X$

proof (*induct arbitrary: X t rule: big-step-induct*)

case *Skip* **then show** *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case *Assign* **then show** *?case*

by (*auto simp: ball-Un*)

next

case (*Semi c1 s1 s2 c2 s3 X t1*)

from *Semi(2,5)* **obtain** *t2* **where**

t12: $(c1, t1) \Rightarrow t2$ **and** *s2t2*: $s2 = t2 \text{ on } L \ c2 \ X$

by *simp blast*

from *Semi(4)[OF s2t2]* **obtain** *t3* **where**

t23: $(c2, t2) \Rightarrow t3$ **and** *s3t3*: $s3 = t3 \text{ on } X$

by *auto*

show *?case* **using** *t12 t23 s3t3* **by** *auto*

next

case (*IfTrue b s c1 s' c2*)

hence $s = t \text{ on vars } b \ s = t \text{ on } L \ c1 \ X$ **by** *auto*

from *bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars[OF this(1)] IfTrue(1)* **have** $bval \ b \ t$ **by** *simp*

from *IfTrue(3)[OF ⟨s = t on L c1 X⟩]* **obtain** *t'* **where**

$(c1, t) \Rightarrow t' \ s' = t' \text{ on } X$ **by** *auto*

thus *?case* **using** $\langle bval \ b \ t \rangle$ **by** *auto*

next

case (*IfFalse b s c2 s' c1*)

hence $s = t \text{ on vars } b \ s = t \text{ on } L \ c2 \ X$ **by** *auto*

from *bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars[OF this(1)] IfFalse(1)* **have** $\sim bval \ b \ t$ **by** *simp*

from *IfFalse(3)[OF ⟨s = t on L c2 X⟩]* **obtain** *t'* **where**

$(c2, t) \Rightarrow t' \ s' = t' \text{ on } X$ **by** *auto*

thus *?case* **using** $\langle \sim bval \ b \ t \rangle$ **by** *auto*

next

case (*WhileFalse b s c*)

hence $\sim bval \ b \ t$ **by** (*auto simp: ball-Un*) (*metis bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars*)

thus *?case* **using** *WhileFalse(2)* **by** *auto*

next

case (*WhileTrue b s1 c s2 s3 X t1*)

let *?w* = *WHILE b DO c*

from $\langle bval \ b \ s1 \rangle$ *WhileTrue(6)* **have** $bval \ b \ t1$

by (*auto simp: ball-Un*) (*metis bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars*)

have $s1 = t1 \text{ on } L \ c \ (L \ ?w \ X)$ **using** *L-While-subset WhileTrue.premis*

by (*blast*)

from $WhileTrue(3)[OF\ this]$ **obtain** $t2$ **where**
 $(c, t1) \Rightarrow t2\ s2 = t2\ on\ L\ ?w\ X$ **by** *auto*
from $WhileTrue(5)[OF\ this(2)]$ **obtain** $t3$ **where** $(?w, t2) \Rightarrow t3\ s3 = t3$
on X
by *auto*
with $\langle bval\ b\ t1 \rangle \langle (c, t1) \Rightarrow t2 \rangle$ **show** $?case$ **by** *auto*
qed

8.3 Program Optimization

Burying assignments to dead variables:

fun $bury :: com \Rightarrow name\ set \Rightarrow com$ **where**
 $bury\ SKIP\ X = SKIP\ |$
 $bury\ (x ::= a)\ X = (if\ x:X\ then\ x ::= a\ else\ SKIP)\ |$
 $bury\ (c_1; c_2)\ X = (bury\ c_1\ (L\ c_2\ X); bury\ c_2\ X)\ |$
 $bury\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2)\ X = IF\ b\ THEN\ bury\ c_1\ X\ ELSE\ bury\ c_2\ X\ |$
 $bury\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ X = WHILE\ b\ DO\ bury\ c\ (vars\ b \cup X \cup L\ c\ X)$

We could prove the analogous lemma to *L-sound*, and the proof would be very similar. However, we phrase it as a semantics preservation property:

theorem *bury-sound*:

$(c, s) \Rightarrow s' \Longrightarrow s = t\ on\ L\ c\ X \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists\ t'. (bury\ c\ X, t) \Rightarrow t' \ \&\ s' = t'\ on\ X$

proof (*induct arbitrary: X t rule: big-step-induct*)

case *Skip* **then** **show** $?case$ **by** *auto*

next

case *Assign* **then** **show** $?case$

by (*auto simp: ball-Un*)

next

case (*Semi c1 s1 s2 c2 s3 X t1*)

from *Semi(2,5)* **obtain** $t2$ **where**

$t12: (bury\ c1\ (L\ c2\ X), t1) \Rightarrow t2$ **and** $s2t2: s2 = t2\ on\ L\ c2\ X$

by *simp blast*

from *Semi(4)[OF s2t2]* **obtain** $t3$ **where**

$t23: (bury\ c2\ X, t2) \Rightarrow t3$ **and** $s3t3: s3 = t3\ on\ X$

by *auto*

show $?case$ **using** $t12\ t23\ s3t3$ **by** *auto*

next

case (*IfTrue b s c1 s' c2*)

hence $s = t\ on\ vars\ b\ s = t\ on\ L\ c1\ X$ **by** *auto*

from *bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars[OF this(1)] IfTrue(1)* **have** $bval\ b\ t$ **by** *simp*

from *IfTrue(3)[OF (s = t on L c1 X)]* **obtain** t' **where**

$(bury\ c1\ X, t) \Rightarrow t'\ s' = t'\ on\ X$ **by** *auto*

thus $?case$ **using** $\langle bval\ b\ t \rangle$ **by** *auto*
next
case (*IfFalse* $b\ s\ c2\ s'\ c1$)
hence $s = t$ *on vars* $b\ s = t$ *on* $L\ c2\ X$ **by** *auto*
from *bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars*[*OF this*(1)] *IfFalse*(1) **have** $\sim bval\ b\ t$ **by** *simp*
from *IfFalse*(3)[*OF* $\langle s = t$ *on* $L\ c2\ X \rangle$] **obtain** t' **where**
 $(bury\ c2\ X,\ t) \Rightarrow t'\ s' = t'$ *on* X **by** *auto*
thus $?case$ **using** $\langle \sim bval\ b\ t \rangle$ **by** *auto*
next
case (*WhileFalse* $b\ s\ c$)
hence $\sim bval\ b\ t$ **by** (*auto simp: ball-Un*) (*metis bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars*)
thus $?case$ **using** *WhileFalse*(2) **by** *auto*
next
case (*WhileTrue* $b\ s1\ c\ s2\ s3\ X\ t1$)
let $?w = WHILE\ b\ DO\ c$
from $\langle bval\ b\ s1 \rangle$ *WhileTrue*(6) **have** $bval\ b\ t1$
by (*auto simp: ball-Un*) (*metis bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars*)
have $s1 = t1$ *on* $L\ c\ (L\ ?w\ X)$
using *L-While-subset WhileTrue.premis* **by** *blast*
from *WhileTrue*(3)[*OF this*] **obtain** $t2$ **where**
 $(bury\ c\ (L\ ?w\ X),\ t1) \Rightarrow t2\ s2 = t2$ *on* $L\ ?w\ X$ **by** *auto*
from *WhileTrue*(5)[*OF this*(2)] **obtain** $t3$
where $(bury\ ?w\ X,\ t2) \Rightarrow t3\ s3 = t3$ *on* X
by *auto*
with $\langle bval\ b\ t1 \rangle$ $\langle (bury\ c\ (L\ ?w\ X),\ t1) \Rightarrow t2 \rangle$ **show** $?case$ **by** *auto*

qed

corollary *final-bury-sound*: $(c,s) \Rightarrow s' \Longrightarrow (bury\ c\ UNIV,\ s) \Rightarrow s'$
using *bury-sound*[*of c s s' UNIV*]
by (*auto simp: expand-fun-eq[symmetric]*)

Now the opposite direction.

lemma *SKIP-bury*[*simp*]:
 $SKIP = bury\ c\ X \longleftrightarrow c = SKIP \mid (EX\ x\ a.\ c = x ::= a \ \&\ x \notin X)$
by (*cases c*) *auto*

lemma *Assign-bury*[*simp*]: $x ::= a = bury\ c\ X \longleftrightarrow c = x ::= a \ \&\ x : X$
by (*cases c*) *auto*

lemma *Semi-bury*[*simp*]: $bc_1;bc_2 = bury\ c\ X \longleftrightarrow$
 $(EX\ c_1\ c_2.\ c = c_1; c_2 \ \&\ bc_2 = bury\ c_2\ X \ \&\ bc_1 = bury\ c_1\ (L\ c_2\ X))$
by (*cases c*) *auto*

lemma *If-bury[simp]*: *IF b THEN bc1 ELSE bc2 = bury c X* \longleftrightarrow
(EX c1 c2. c = IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2 &
bc1 = bury c1 X & bc2 = bury c2 X)
by *(cases c) auto*

lemma *While-bury[simp]*: *WHILE b DO bc' = bury c X* \longleftrightarrow
(EX c'. c = WHILE b DO c' & bc' = bury c' (vars b \cup X \cup L c X))
by *(cases c) auto*

theorem *bury-sound2*:

(bury c X, s) \Rightarrow s' \implies s = t on L c X \implies
 $\exists t'. (c, t) \Rightarrow t' \ \& \ s' = t' \text{ on } X$

proof *(induct bury c X s s' arbitrary: c X t rule: big-step-induct)*

case *Skip then show ?case by auto*

next

case *Assign then show ?case*

by *(auto simp: ball-Un)*

next

case *(Semi bc1 s1 s2 bc2 s3 c X t1)*

then obtain *c1 c2 where c: c = c1;c2*

and *bc2: bc2 = bury c2 X and bc1: bc1 = bury c1 (L c2 X) by auto*

from *Semi(2)[OF bc1, of t1] Semi.premc c obtain t2 where*

t12: (c1, t1) \Rightarrow t2 and s2t2: s2 = t2 on L c2 X by auto

from *Semi(4)[OF bc2 s2t2] obtain t3 where*

t23: (c2, t2) \Rightarrow t3 and s3t3: s3 = t3 on X

by auto

show *?case using c t12 t23 s3t3 by auto*

next

case *(IfTrue b s bc1 s' bc2)*

then obtain *c1 c2 where c: c = IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2*

and *bc1: bc1 = bury c1 X and bc2: bc2 = bury c2 X by auto*

have *s = t on vars b s = t on L c1 X using IfTrue.premc c by auto*

from *bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars[OF this(1)] IfTrue(1) have bval b t by simp*

from *IfTrue(3)[OF bc1 (s = t on L c1 X)] obtain t' where*

(c1, t) \Rightarrow t' s' = t' on X by auto

thus *?case using c (bval b t) by auto*

next

case *(IfFalse b s bc2 s' bc1)*

then obtain *c1 c2 where c: c = IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2*

and *bc1: bc1 = bury c1 X and bc2: bc2 = bury c2 X by auto*

have *s = t on vars b s = t on L c2 X using IfFalse.premc c by auto*

from *bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars[OF this(1)] IfFalse(1) have \sim bval b t by simp*

from *IfFalse(3)[OF bc2 (s = t on L c2 X)] obtain t' where*

(c2, t) \Rightarrow t' s' = t' on X by auto


```

thus ?case using  $c \lesssim \text{bval } b \ t$  by auto
next
  case (WhileFalse  $b \ s \ c$ )
  hence  $\sim \text{bval } b \ t$  by (auto simp: ball-Un dest: bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars)
  thus ?case using WhileFalse by auto
next
  case (WhileTrue  $b \ s1 \ bc' \ s2 \ s3 \ c \ X \ t1$ )
  then obtain  $c'$  where  $c: c = \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c'$ 
    and  $bc': bc' = \text{bury } c' \ (\text{vars } b \cup X \cup L \ c' \ X)$  by auto
  let ?w = WHILE  $b \ \text{DO } c'$ 
  from  $\langle \text{bval } b \ s1 \rangle$  WhileTrue.prems  $c$  have  $\text{bval } b \ t1$ 
    by (auto simp: ball-Un) (metis bval-eq-if-eq-on-vars)
  have  $s1 = t1$  on  $L \ c' \ (L \ ?w \ X)$ 
    using L-While-subset WhileTrue.prems  $c$  by blast
  with WhileTrue(3)[OF  $bc'$ , of  $t1$ ] obtain  $t2$  where
     $\langle c', t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t2 \ s2 = t2$  on  $L \ ?w \ X$  by auto
  from WhileTrue(5)[OF WhileTrue(6), of  $t2$ ]  $c$  this(2) obtain  $t3$ 
    where  $\langle ?w, t2 \rangle \Rightarrow t3 \ s3 = t3$  on  $X$ 
    by auto
  with  $\langle \text{bval } b \ t1 \rangle \langle c', t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t2$   $c$  show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

corollary final-bury-sound2:  $(\text{bury } c \ \text{UNIV}, s) \Rightarrow s' \Longrightarrow (c, s) \Rightarrow s'$ 
using bury-sound2[of  $c \ \text{UNIV}$ ]
by (auto simp: expand-fun-eq[symmetric])

```

```

corollary bury-iff:  $(\text{bury } c \ \text{UNIV}, s) \Rightarrow s' \iff (c, s) \Rightarrow s'$ 
by(metis final-bury-sound final-bury-sound2)

```

end

9 Security Type Systems

```

theory Sec-Type-Expr imports Big-Step
begin

```

9.1 Security Levels and Expressions

```

types level = nat

```

The security/confidentiality level of each variable is globally fixed for simplicity. For the sake of examples — the general theory does not rely on it! — variable number n has security level n :

```

class sec = fixes sec :: 'a ⇒ level

instantiation nat :: sec
begin

definition sec-nat :: name ⇒ level where sec n = n

instance ..

end

instantiation aexp :: sec
begin

fun sec-aexp :: aexp ⇒ level where
sec-aexp (N n) = 0 |
sec-aexp (V x) = sec x |
sec-aexp (Plus a1 a2) = max (sec-aexp a1) (sec-aexp a2)

instance ..

end

instantiation bexp :: sec
begin

fun sec-bexp :: bexp ⇒ level where
sec-bexp (B bv) = 0 |
sec-bexp (Not b) = sec-bexp b |
sec-bexp (And b1 b2) = max (sec-bexp b1) (sec-bexp b2) |
sec-bexp (Less a1 a2) = max (sec a1) (sec a2)

instance ..

end

abbreviation eq-le :: state ⇒ state ⇒ level ⇒ bool
((- = - '(≤ -')) [51,51,0] 50) where
s = s' (≤ l) == (∀ x. sec x ≤ l → s x = s' x)

abbreviation eq-less :: state ⇒ state ⇒ level ⇒ bool
((- = - '(< -')) [51,51,0] 50) where
s = s' (< l) == (∀ x. sec x < l → s x = s' x)

```

lemma *aval-eq-if-eq-le*:
 $\llbracket s_1 = s_2 (\leq l); \text{sec } a \leq l \rrbracket \implies \text{aval } a \ s_1 = \text{aval } a \ s_2$
by (*induct a*) *auto*

lemma *bval-eq-if-eq-le*:
 $\llbracket s_1 = s_2 (\leq l); \text{sec } b \leq l \rrbracket \implies \text{bval } b \ s_1 = \text{bval } b \ s_2$
by (*induct b*) (*auto simp add: aval-eq-if-eq-le*)

end

theory *Sec-Typing* **imports** *Sec-Type-Expr*
begin

9.2 Syntax Directed Typing

inductive *sec-type* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *bool* ((-/ \vdash -) [0,0] 50) **where**

Skip:

$l \vdash \text{SKIP} \mid$

Assign:

$\llbracket \text{sec } x \geq \text{sec } a; \text{sec } x \geq l \rrbracket \implies l \vdash x ::= a \mid$

Semi:

$\llbracket l \vdash c_1; l \vdash c_2 \rrbracket \implies l \vdash c_1; c_2 \mid$

If:

$\llbracket \text{max } (\text{sec } b) \ l \vdash c_1; \text{max } (\text{sec } b) \ l \vdash c_2 \rrbracket \implies l \vdash \text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2 \mid$

While:

$\text{max } (\text{sec } b) \ l \vdash c \implies l \vdash \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c$

code-pred (*expected-modes: i => i => bool*) *sec-type* .

value $0 \vdash \text{IF } \text{Less } (V \ 1) \ (V \ 0) \ \text{THEN } 1 ::= N \ 0 \ \text{ELSE } \text{SKIP}$

value $1 \vdash \text{IF } \text{Less } (V \ 1) \ (V \ 0) \ \text{THEN } 1 ::= N \ 0 \ \text{ELSE } \text{SKIP}$

value $2 \vdash \text{IF } \text{Less } (V \ 1) \ (V \ 0) \ \text{THEN } 1 ::= N \ 0 \ \text{ELSE } \text{SKIP}$

inductive-cases [*elim!*]:

$l \vdash x ::= a \ l \vdash c_1; c_2 \ l \vdash \text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2 \ l \vdash \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c$

An important property: anti-monotonicity.

lemma *anti-mono*: $\llbracket l \vdash c; l' \leq l \rrbracket \implies l' \vdash c$

apply(*induct arbitrary: l' rule: sec-type.induct*)

apply (*metis sec-type.intros(1)*)

apply (*metis le-trans sec-type.intros(2)*)

```

apply (metis sec-type.intros(3))
apply (metis If le-refl sup-mono sup-nat-def)
apply (metis While le-refl sup-mono sup-nat-def)
done

lemma confinement:  $\llbracket (c,s) \Rightarrow t; l \vdash c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow s = t (< l)$ 
proof(induct rule: big-step-induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by simp
next
  case Assign thus ?case by auto
next
  case Semi thus ?case by auto
next
  case (IfTrue b s c1)
  hence max (sec b)  $l \vdash c1$  by auto
  hence  $l \vdash c1$  by (metis le-maxI2 anti-mono)
  thus ?case using IfTrue.hyps by metis
next
  case (IfFalse b s c2)
  hence max (sec b)  $l \vdash c2$  by auto
  hence  $l \vdash c2$  by (metis le-maxI2 anti-mono)
  thus ?case using IfFalse.hyps by metis
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by auto
next
  case (WhileTrue b s1 c)
  hence max (sec b)  $l \vdash c$  by auto
  hence  $l \vdash c$  by (metis le-maxI2 anti-mono)
  thus ?case using WhileTrue by metis
qed

```

```

theorem noninterference:
   $\llbracket (c,s) \Rightarrow s'; (c,t) \Rightarrow t'; 0 \vdash c; s = t (\leq l) \rrbracket$ 
   $\Longrightarrow s' = t' (\leq l)$ 
proof(induct arbitrary: t t' rule: big-step-induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Assign x a s)
  have [simp]:  $t' = t(x := \text{aval } a \ t)$  using Assign by auto
  have sec x  $\geq$  sec a using  $\langle 0 \vdash x ::= a \rangle$  by auto
  show ?case
proof auto
  assume sec x  $\leq$  l

```

```

with ⟨sec x  $\geq$  sec a⟩ have sec a  $\leq$  l by arith
thus aval a s = aval a t
  by (rule aval-eq-if-eq-le[OF ⟨s = t ( $\leq$  l)⟩])
next
  fix y assume y  $\neq$  x sec y  $\leq$  l
  thus s y = t y using ⟨s = t ( $\leq$  l)⟩ by simp
qed
next
  case Semi thus ?case by blast
next
  case (IfTrue b s c1 s' c2)
  have sec b  $\vdash$  c1 sec b  $\vdash$  c2 using IfTrue.prems(2) by auto
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume sec b  $\leq$  l
    hence s = t ( $\leq$  sec b) using ⟨s = t ( $\leq$  l)⟩ by auto
    hence bval b t using ⟨bval b s⟩ by(simp add: bval-eq-if-eq-le)
    with IfTrue.hyps(3) IfTrue.prems(1,3) ⟨sec b  $\vdash$  c1⟩ anti-mono
    show ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume  $\neg$  sec b  $\leq$  l
    have 1: sec b  $\vdash$  IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2
      by(rule sec-type.intros)(simp-all add: ⟨sec b  $\vdash$  c1⟩ ⟨sec b  $\vdash$  c2⟩)
    from confinement[OF big-step.IfTrue[OF IfTrue(1,2)] 1]  $\langle \neg$  sec b  $\leq$  l
    have s = s' ( $\leq$  l) by auto
    moreover
    from confinement[OF IfTrue.prems(1) 1]  $\langle \neg$  sec b  $\leq$  l
    have t = t' ( $\leq$  l) by auto
    ultimately show s' = t' ( $\leq$  l) using ⟨s = t ( $\leq$  l)⟩ by auto
  qed
next
  case (IfFalse b s c2 s' c1)
  have sec b  $\vdash$  c1 sec b  $\vdash$  c2 using IfFalse.prems(2) by auto
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume sec b  $\leq$  l
    hence s = t ( $\leq$  sec b) using ⟨s = t ( $\leq$  l)⟩ by auto
    hence  $\neg$  bval b t using  $\langle \neg$  bval b s  $\rangle$  by(simp add: bval-eq-if-eq-le)
    with IfFalse.hyps(3) IfFalse.prems(1,3) ⟨sec b  $\vdash$  c2⟩ anti-mono
    show ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume  $\neg$  sec b  $\leq$  l
    have 1: sec b  $\vdash$  IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2
      by(rule sec-type.intros)(simp-all add: ⟨sec b  $\vdash$  c1⟩ ⟨sec b  $\vdash$  c2⟩)

```

```

from confinement[OF big-step.IfFalse[OF IfFalse(1,2)] 1]  $\langle \neg \text{sec } b \leq l \rangle$ 
have  $s = s' (\leq l)$  by auto
moreover
from confinement[OF IfFalse.prems(1) 1]  $\langle \neg \text{sec } b \leq l \rangle$ 
have  $t = t' (\leq l)$  by auto
ultimately show  $s' = t' (\leq l)$  using  $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$  by auto
qed
next
case (WhileFalse  $b$   $s$   $c$ )
have  $\text{sec } b \vdash c$  using WhileFalse.prems(2) by auto
show ?case
proof cases
  assume  $\text{sec } b \leq l$ 
  hence  $s = t (\leq \text{sec } b)$  using  $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$  by auto
  hence  $\neg \text{bval } b \ t$  using  $\langle \neg \text{bval } b \ s \rangle$  by(simp add: bval-eq-if-eq-le)
  with WhileFalse.prems(1,3) show ?thesis by auto
next
  assume  $\neg \text{sec } b \leq l$ 
  have 1:  $\text{sec } b \vdash \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c$ 
    by(rule sec-type.intros)(simp-all add: \langle sec } b \vdash c \rangle)
  from confinement[OF WhileFalse.prems(1) 1]  $\langle \neg \text{sec } b \leq l \rangle$ 
  have  $t = t' (\leq l)$  by auto
  thus  $s = t' (\leq l)$  using  $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$  by auto
qed
next
case (WhileTrue  $b$   $s1$   $c$   $s2$   $s3$   $t1$   $t3$ )
let  $?w = \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO } c$ 
have  $\text{sec } b \vdash c$  using WhileTrue.prems(2) by auto
show ?case
proof cases
  assume  $\text{sec } b \leq l$ 
  hence  $s1 = t1 (\leq \text{sec } b)$  using  $\langle s1 = t1 (\leq l) \rangle$  by auto
  hence  $\text{bval } b \ t1$ 
    using  $\langle \text{bval } b \ s1 \rangle$  by(simp add: bval-eq-if-eq-le)
  then obtain  $t2$  where  $(c, t1) \Rightarrow t2$   $(?w, t2) \Rightarrow t3$ 
    using  $\langle (?w, t1) \Rightarrow t3 \rangle$  by auto
  from WhileTrue.hyps(5)[OF  $\langle (?w, t2) \Rightarrow t3 \rangle \langle 0 \vdash ?w \rangle$ 
    WhileTrue.hyps(3)[OF  $\langle (c, t1) \Rightarrow t2 \rangle$  anti-mono[OF  $\langle \text{sec } b \vdash c \rangle$ 
       $\langle s1 = t1 (\leq l) \rangle$ ]]]
  show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume  $\neg \text{sec } b \leq l$ 
  have 1:  $\text{sec } b \vdash ?w$  by(rule sec-type.intros)(simp-all add: \langle sec } b \vdash c \rangle)
  from confinement[OF big-step.WhileTrue[OF WhileTrue(1,2,4)] 1]  $\langle \neg$ 

```

```

sec b ≤ l
  have s1 = s3 (≤ l) by auto
  moreover
  from confinement[OF WhileTrue.prem1] 1  $\langle \neg \text{sec } b \leq l \rangle$ 
  have t1 = t3 (≤ l) by auto
  ultimately show s3 = t3 (≤ l) using  $\langle s1 = t1 (≤ l) \rangle$  by auto
qed
qed

```

9.3 The Standard Typing System

The predicate $l \vdash c$ is nicely intuitive and executable. The standard formulation, however, is slightly different, replacing the maximum computation by an antimonotonicity rule. We introduce the standard system now and show the equivalence with our formulation.

inductive *sec-type'* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *bool* ((-/ \vdash' -) [0,0] 50) **where**

Skip':

$l \vdash' \text{SKIP} \mid$

Assign':

$\llbracket \text{sec } x \geq \text{sec } a; \text{sec } x \geq l \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l \vdash' x ::= a \mid$

Semi':

$\llbracket l \vdash' c_1; l \vdash' c_2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l \vdash' c_1; c_2 \mid$

If':

$\llbracket \text{sec } b \leq l; l \vdash' c_1; l \vdash' c_2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l \vdash' \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \mid$

While':

$\llbracket \text{sec } b \leq l; l \vdash' c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l \vdash' \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \mid$

anti-mono':

$\llbracket l \vdash' c; l' \leq l \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l' \vdash' c$

lemma *sec-type-sec-type'*: $l \vdash c \Longrightarrow l \vdash' c$

apply(*induct rule: sec-type.induct*)

apply (*metis Skip'*)

apply (*metis Assign'*)

apply (*metis Semi'*)

apply (*metis min-max.inf-sup-ord(3) min-max.sup-absorb2 nat-le-linear If'*
anti-mono')

by (*metis less-or-eq-imp-le min-max.sup-absorb1 min-max.sup-absorb2 nat-le-linear*
While' anti-mono')

lemma *sec-type'-sec-type*: $l \vdash' c \Longrightarrow l \vdash c$

apply(*induct rule: sec-type'.induct*)

apply (*metis Skip*)

apply (*metis Assign*)

apply (*metis Semi*)
apply (*metis min-max.sup-absorb2 If*)
apply (*metis min-max.sup-absorb2 While*)
by (*metis anti-mono*)

9.4 A Bottom-Up Typing System

inductive *sec-type2* :: *com* \Rightarrow *level* \Rightarrow *bool* ((\vdash - : -) [0,0] 50) **where**

Skip2:

\vdash *SKIP* : *l* |

Assign2:

$sec\ x \geq sec\ a \Longrightarrow \vdash x ::= a : sec\ x$ |

Semi2:

$\llbracket \vdash c_1 : l_1; \vdash c_2 : l_2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \vdash c_1; c_2 : min\ l_1\ l_2$ |

If2:

$\llbracket sec\ b \leq min\ l_1\ l_2; \vdash c_1 : l_1; \vdash c_2 : l_2 \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \vdash IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2 : min\ l_1\ l_2$ |

While2:

$\llbracket sec\ b \leq l; \vdash c : l \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \vdash WHILE\ b\ DO\ c : l$

lemma *sec-type2-sec-type'*: $\vdash c : l \Longrightarrow l \vdash' c$

apply(*induct rule: sec-type2.induct*)

apply (*metis Skip'*)

apply (*metis Assign' eq-imp-le*)

apply (*metis Semi' anti-mono' min-max.inf commute min-max.inf-le2*)

apply (*metis If' anti-mono' min-max.inf-absorb2 min-max.le-iff-inf nat-le-linear*)

by (*metis While'*)

lemma *sec-type'-sec-type2*: $l \vdash' c \Longrightarrow \exists l' \geq l. \vdash c : l'$

apply(*induct rule: sec-type'.induct*)

apply (*metis Skip2 le-refl*)

apply (*metis Assign2*)

apply (*metis Semi2 min-max.inf-greatest*)

apply (*metis If2 inf-greatest inf-nat-def le-trans*)

apply (*metis While2 le-trans*)

by (*metis le-trans*)

end

theory *Sec-TypingT* **imports** *Sec-Type-Expr*

begin

9.5 A Termination-Sensitive Syntax Directed System

inductive *sec-type* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *bool* ((-/ \vdash -) [0,0] 50) **where**

Skip:

$l \vdash \text{SKIP} \mid$

Assign:

$\llbracket \text{sec } x \geq \text{sec } a; \text{sec } x \geq l \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l \vdash x ::= a \mid$

Semi:

$l \vdash c_1 \Longrightarrow l \vdash c_2 \Longrightarrow l \vdash c_1; c_2 \mid$

If:

$\llbracket \text{max } (\text{sec } b) \text{ } l \vdash c_1; \text{max } (\text{sec } b) \text{ } l \vdash c_2 \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow l \vdash \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \mid$

While:

$\text{sec } b = 0 \Longrightarrow 0 \vdash c \Longrightarrow 0 \vdash \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c$

code-pred (*expected-modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) *sec-type* .

inductive-cases [*elim!*]:

$l \vdash x ::= a \mid l \vdash c_1; c_2 \mid l \vdash \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \mid l \vdash \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c$

lemma *anti-mono*: $l \vdash c \Longrightarrow l' \leq l \Longrightarrow l' \vdash c$

apply(*induct arbitrary*: l' *rule*: *sec-type.induct*)

apply (*metis sec-type.intros(1)*)

apply (*metis le-trans sec-type.intros(2)*)

apply (*metis sec-type.intros(3)*)

apply (*metis If le-refl sup-mono sup-nat-def*)

by (*metis While le-0-eq*)

lemma *confinement*: $(c, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow l \vdash c \Longrightarrow s = t (< l)$

proof(*induct rule*: *big-step-induct*)

case *Skip* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case *Assign* **thus** *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case *Semi* **thus** *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*IfTrue* $b \ s \ c1$)

hence $\text{max } (\text{sec } b) \text{ } l \vdash c1$ **by** *auto*

hence $l \vdash c1$ **by** (*metis le-maxI2 anti-mono*)

thus *?case* **using** *IfTrue.hyps* **by** *metis*

next

case (*IfFalse* $b \ s \ c2$)

hence $\text{max} (\text{sec } b) l \vdash c2$ **by** *auto*
hence $l \vdash c2$ **by** (*metis le-maxI2 anti-mono*)
thus *?case* **using** *IfFalse.hyps* **by** *metis*
next
case *WhileFalse* **thus** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*WhileTrue b s1 c*)
hence $l \vdash c$ **by** *auto*
thus *?case* **using** *WhileTrue* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *termi-if-non0*: $l \vdash c \implies l \neq 0 \implies \exists t. (c,s) \Rightarrow t$
apply(*induct arbitrary: s rule: sec-type.induct*)
apply (*metis big-step.Skip*)
apply (*metis big-step.Assign*)
apply (*metis big-step.Semi*)
apply (*metis IfFalse IfTrue le0 le-antisym le-maxI2*)
apply *simp*
done

theorem *noninterference*: $(c,s) \Rightarrow s' \implies 0 \vdash c \implies s = t (\leq l)$
 $\implies \exists t'. (c,t) \Rightarrow t' \wedge s' = t' (\leq l)$
proof(*induct arbitrary: t rule: big-step-induct*)
case *Skip* **thus** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Assign x a s*)
have $\text{sec } x \geq \text{sec } a$ **using** $\langle 0 \vdash x ::= a \rangle$ **by** *auto*
have $(x ::= a, t) \Rightarrow t(x := \text{aval } a t)$ **by** *auto*
moreover
have $s(x := \text{aval } a s) = t(x := \text{aval } a t) (\leq l)$
proof *auto*
assume $\text{sec } x \leq l$
with $\langle \text{sec } x \geq \text{sec } a \rangle$ **have** $\text{sec } a \leq l$ **by** *arith*
thus $\text{aval } a s = \text{aval } a t$
by (*rule aval-eq-if-eq-le[OF \langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle]*)
next
fix *y* **assume** $y \neq x$ $\text{sec } y \leq l$
thus $s y = t y$ **using** $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$ **by** *simp*
qed
ultimately show *?case* **by** *blast*
next
case *Semi* **thus** *?case* **by** *blast*
next
case (*IfTrue b s c1 s' c2*)

have $sec\ b \vdash c1\ sec\ b \vdash c2$ **using** $IfTrue.prem\ s$ **by** $auto$
obtain t' **where** $t': (c1, t) \Rightarrow t' s' = t' (\leq l)$
using $IfTrue(3)[OF\ anti\ mono[OF\ \langle sec\ b \vdash c1 \rangle\ IfTrue.prem\ s(2)]]$ **by**
 $blast$
show $?case$
proof $cases$
assume $sec\ b \leq l$
hence $s = t (\leq sec\ b)$ **using** $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$ **by** $auto$
hence $bval\ b\ t$ **using** $\langle bval\ b\ s \rangle$ **by** $(simp\ add: bval\ eq\ if\ eq\ le)$
thus $?thesis$ **by** $(metis\ t'\ big\ step.IfTrue)$
next
assume $\neg sec\ b \leq l$
hence $0: sec\ b \neq 0$ **by** $arith$
have $1: sec\ b \vdash IF\ b\ THEN\ c1\ ELSE\ c2$
by $(rule\ sec\ type.intros)(simp\ all\ add: \langle sec\ b \vdash c1 \rangle \langle sec\ b \vdash c2 \rangle)$
from $confinement[OF\ big\ step.IfTrue[OF\ IfTrue(1,2)]\ 1]$ $\langle \neg sec\ b \leq l \rangle$
have $s = s' (\leq l)$ **by** $auto$
moreover
from $termi\ if\ non0[OF\ 1\ 0, of\ t]$ **obtain** t' **where**
 $(IF\ b\ THEN\ c1\ ELSE\ c2, t) \Rightarrow t' ..$
moreover
from $confinement[OF\ this\ 1]$ $\langle \neg sec\ b \leq l \rangle$
have $t = t' (\leq l)$ **by** $auto$
ultimately
show $?case$ **using** $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$ **by** $auto$
qed
next
case $(IfFalse\ b\ s\ c2\ s'\ c1)$
have $sec\ b \vdash c1\ sec\ b \vdash c2$ **using** $IfFalse.prem\ s$ **by** $auto$
obtain t' **where** $t': (c2, t) \Rightarrow t' s' = t' (\leq l)$
using $IfFalse(3)[OF\ anti\ mono[OF\ \langle sec\ b \vdash c2 \rangle\ IfFalse.prem\ s(2)]]$ **by**
 $blast$
show $?case$
proof $cases$
assume $sec\ b \leq l$
hence $s = t (\leq sec\ b)$ **using** $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$ **by** $auto$
hence $\neg bval\ b\ t$ **using** $\langle \neg bval\ b\ s \rangle$ **by** $(simp\ add: bval\ eq\ if\ eq\ le)$
thus $?thesis$ **by** $(metis\ t'\ big\ step.IfFalse)$
next
assume $\neg sec\ b \leq l$
hence $0: sec\ b \neq 0$ **by** $arith$
have $1: sec\ b \vdash IF\ b\ THEN\ c1\ ELSE\ c2$
by $(rule\ sec\ type.intros)(simp\ all\ add: \langle sec\ b \vdash c1 \rangle \langle sec\ b \vdash c2 \rangle)$
from $confinement[OF\ big\ step.IfFalse[OF\ IfFalse(1,2)]\ 1]$ $\langle \neg sec\ b \leq l \rangle$

```

have  $s = s' (\leq l)$  by auto
moreover
from termi-if-non0[OF 1 0, of  $t$ ] obtain  $t'$  where
  (IF  $b$  THEN  $c1$  ELSE  $c2, t$ )  $\Rightarrow t' ..$ 
moreover
from confinement[OF this 1]  $\langle \neg \text{sec } b \leq l$ 
have  $t = t' (\leq l)$  by auto
ultimately
show ?case using  $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$  by auto
qed
next
case (WhileFalse  $b$   $s$   $c$ )
hence [simp]:  $\text{sec } b = 0$  by auto
have  $s = t (\leq \text{sec } b)$  using  $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$  by auto
hence  $\neg \text{bval } b$   $t$  using  $\langle \neg \text{bval } b$   $s \rangle$  by (metis bval-eq-if-eq-le le-refl)
with WhileFalse.prems(2) show ?case by auto
next
case (WhileTrue  $b$   $s$   $c$   $s''$   $s'$ )
let  $?w = \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c$ 
from  $\langle 0 \vdash ?w \rangle$  have [simp]:  $\text{sec } b = 0$  by auto
have  $0 \vdash c$  using WhileTrue.prems(1) by auto
from WhileTrue(3)[OF this WhileTrue.prems(2)]
obtain  $t''$  where  $(c, t) \Rightarrow t''$  and  $s'' = t'' (\leq l)$  by blast
from WhileTrue(5)[OF  $\langle 0 \vdash ?w \rangle$  this(2)]
obtain  $t'$  where  $(?w, t'') \Rightarrow t'$  and  $s' = t' (\leq l)$  by blast
from  $\langle \text{bval } b$   $s \rangle$  have  $\text{bval } b$   $t$ 
using bval-eq-if-eq-le[OF  $\langle s = t (\leq l) \rangle$ ] by auto
show ?case
using big-step.WhileTrue[OF  $\langle \text{bval } b$   $t \rangle$   $\langle (c, t) \Rightarrow t'' \rangle$   $\langle (?w, t'') \Rightarrow t' \rangle$ ]
by (metis  $\langle s' = t' (\leq l) \rangle$ )
qed

```

9.6 The Standard Termination-Sensitive System

The predicate $l \vdash c$ is nicely intuitive and executable. The standard formulation, however, is slightly different, replacing the maximum computation by an antimonotonicity rule. We introduce the standard system now and show the equivalence with our formulation.

inductive *sec-type'* $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{com} \Rightarrow \text{bool} ((-/ \vdash'' -) [0,0] 50)$ **where**

Skip':

$l \vdash' \text{SKIP} \mid$

Assign':

$\llbracket \text{sec } x \geq \text{sec } a; \text{sec } x \geq l \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l \vdash' x ::= a \mid$

Semi':

$l \vdash' c_1 \implies l \vdash' c_2 \implies l \vdash' c_1; c_2 \quad |$
If':
 $\llbracket \text{sec } b \leq l; l \vdash' c_1; l \vdash' c_2 \rrbracket \implies l \vdash' \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \quad |$
While':
 $\llbracket \text{sec } b = 0; 0 \vdash' c \rrbracket \implies 0 \vdash' \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \quad |$
anti-mono':
 $\llbracket l \vdash' c; l' \leq l \rrbracket \implies l' \vdash' c$

lemma $l \vdash c \implies l \vdash' c$
apply(*induct rule: sec-type.induct*)
apply (*metis Skip'*)
apply (*metis Assign'*)
apply (*metis Semi'*)
apply (*metis min-max.inf-sup-ord(3) min-max.sup-absorb2 nat-le-linear If'*
anti-mono')
by (*metis While'*)

lemma $l \vdash' c \implies l \vdash c$
apply(*induct rule: sec-type'.induct*)
apply (*metis Skip*)
apply (*metis Assign*)
apply (*metis Semi*)
apply (*metis min-max.sup-absorb2 If*)
apply (*metis While*)
by (*metis anti-mono*)

end

10 Hoare Logic

theory *Hoare* **imports** *Big-Step* **begin**

10.1 Hoare Logic for Partial Correctness

types *assn* = *state* \Rightarrow *bool*

abbreviation *state-subst* :: *state* \Rightarrow *aexp* \Rightarrow *name* \Rightarrow *state*

(-['/-] [1000,0,0] 999)

where $s[a/x] == s(x := \text{aval } a \text{ } s)$

inductive

hoare :: *assn* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *assn* \Rightarrow *bool* (\vdash ($\{(1-)\}$ / $(-)$ / $\{(1-)\}$) 50)

where

Skip: $\vdash \{P\} \text{ SKIP } \{P\} \mid$

Assign: $\vdash \{\lambda s. P(s[a/x])\} x ::= a \{P\} \mid$

Semi: $\llbracket \vdash \{P\} c_1 \{Q\}; \vdash \{Q\} c_2 \{R\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \vdash \{P\} c_1; c_2 \{R\} \mid$

If: $\llbracket \vdash \{\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{bval } b s\} c_1 \{Q\}; \vdash \{\lambda s. P s \wedge \neg \text{bval } b s\} c_2 \{Q\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \vdash \{P\} \text{ IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \{Q\} \mid$

While: $\vdash \{\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{bval } b s\} c \{P\} \implies$
 $\vdash \{P\} \text{ WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \{\lambda s. P s \wedge \neg \text{bval } b s\} \mid$

conseq: $\llbracket \forall s. P' s \longrightarrow P s; \vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}; \forall s. Q s \longrightarrow Q' s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\}$

lemmas [*simp*] = *hoare.Skip hoare.Assign hoare.Semi If*

lemmas [*intro!*] = *hoare.Skip hoare.Assign hoare.Semi hoare.If*

lemma *strengthen-pre*:

$\llbracket \forall s. P' s \longrightarrow P s; \vdash \{P\} c \{Q\} \rrbracket \implies \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q\}$

by (*blast intro: conseq*)

lemma *weaken-post*:

$\llbracket \vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}; \forall s. Q s \longrightarrow Q' s \rrbracket \implies \vdash \{P\} c \{Q'\}$

by (*blast intro: conseq*)

The assignment and While rule are awkward to use in actual proofs because their pre and postcondition are of a very special form and the actual goal would have to match this form exactly. Therefore we derive two variants with arbitrary pre and postconditions.

lemma *Assign'*: $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q(s[a/x]) \implies \vdash \{P\} x ::= a \{Q\}$

by (*simp add: strengthen-pre[OF - Assign]*)

lemma *While'*:

assumes $\vdash \{\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{bval } b s\} c \{P\}$ **and** $\forall s. P s \wedge \neg \text{bval } b s \longrightarrow Q s$
shows $\vdash \{P\} \text{ WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \{Q\}$

by(*rule weaken-post[OF While[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]*)

end

theory *Hoare-Examples* **imports** *Hoare* **begin**

10.2 Example: Sums

Summing up the first n natural numbers. The sum is accumulated in variable 0 , the loop counter is variable 1 .

abbreviation $w\ n\ ==$

WHILE *Less* ($V\ 1$) ($N\ n$)

DO ($1\ ::=\ Plus\ (V\ 1)\ (N\ 1)$; $0\ ::=\ Plus\ (V\ 0)\ (V\ 1)$)

For this example we make use of some predefined functions. Function *Setsum*, also written \sum , sums up the elements of a set. The set of numbers from m to n is written $\{m..n\}$.

10.2.1 Proof by Operational Semantics

The behaviour of the loop is proved by induction:

lemma *while-sum*:

$(w\ n,\ s) \Rightarrow t \implies t\ 0 = s\ 0 + \sum \{s\ 1 + 1 .. n\}$

apply(*induct* $w\ n\ s\ t$ *rule: big-step-induct*)

apply(*auto simp add: setsum-head-Suc*)

done

We were lucky that the proof was practically automatic, except for the induction. In general, such proofs will not be so easy. The automation is partly due to the right inversion rules that we set up as automatic elimination rules that decompose big-step premises.

Now we prefix the loop with the necessary initialization:

lemma *sum-via-bigstep*:

assumes ($0\ ::=\ N\ 0$; $1\ ::=\ N\ 0$; $w\ n,\ s) \Rightarrow t$

shows $t\ 0 = \sum \{1 .. n\}$

proof –

from *assms* **have** ($w\ n,\ s(0:=0,1:=0) \Rightarrow t$) **by** *auto*

from *while-sum[OF this]* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

10.2.2 Proof by Hoare Logic

Note that we deal with sequences of commands from right to left, pulling back the postcondition towards the precondition.

lemma $\vdash \{\lambda s. True\} 0\ ::= N\ 0; 1\ ::= N\ 0; w\ n\ \{\lambda s. s\ 0 = \sum \{1 .. n\}\}$

apply(*rule hoare.Semi*)

prefer 2

```

apply(rule While'
  [where  $P = \lambda s. s \ 0 = \sum \{1..s \ 1\} \wedge s \ 1 \leq n$ ])
apply(rule Semi)
prefer 2
apply(rule Assign)
apply(rule Assign')
apply(fastsimp)
apply(fastsimp)
apply(rule Semi)
prefer 2
apply(rule Assign)
apply(rule Assign')
apply simp
done

```

The proof is intentionally an apply skript because it merely composes the rules of Hoare logic. Of course, in a few places side conditions have to be proved. But since those proofs are 1-liners, a structured proof is overkill. In fact, we shall learn later that the application of the Hoare rules can be automated completely and all that is left for the user is to provide the loop invariants and prove the side-conditions.

end

theory *Hoare-Sound-Complete* **imports** *Hoare* **begin**

10.3 Soundness

definition

hoare-valid :: $assn \Rightarrow com \Rightarrow assn \Rightarrow bool$ ($\models \{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\}$ 50) **where**
 $\models \{P\}c\{Q\} = (\forall s \ t. (c,s) \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow P \ s \longrightarrow Q \ t)$

lemma *hoare-sound*: $\vdash \{P\}c\{Q\} \Longrightarrow \models \{P\}c\{Q\}$

proof(*induct* rule: *hoare.induct*)

case (*While* $P \ b \ c$)

 { **fix** $s \ t$

have (*WHILE* $b \ DO \ c,s$) $\Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow P \ s \longrightarrow P \ t \wedge \neg \ bval \ b \ t$

proof(*induct* *WHILE* $b \ DO \ c \ s \ t$ rule: *big-step-induct*)

case *WhileFalse* **thus** ?*case* **by** *blast*

next

case *WhileTrue* **thus** ?*case*

using *While(2)* **unfolding** *hoare-valid-def* **by** *blast*

qed


```

}
thus ?case unfolding hoare-valid-def by blast
qed (auto simp: hoare-valid-def)

```

10.4 Weakest Precondition

definition $wp :: com \Rightarrow assn \Rightarrow assn$ **where**
 $wp\ c\ Q = (\lambda s. \forall t. (c, s) \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow Q\ t)$

lemma $wp\text{-}SKIP[simp]$: $wp\ SKIP\ Q = Q$
by (rule ext) (auto simp: wp-def)

lemma $wp\text{-}Ass[simp]$: $wp\ (x ::= a)\ Q = (\lambda s. Q(s[a/x]))$
by (rule ext) (auto simp: wp-def)

lemma $wp\text{-}Semi[simp]$: $wp\ (c_1; c_2)\ Q = wp\ c_1\ (wp\ c_2\ Q)$
by (rule ext) (auto simp: wp-def)

lemma $wp\text{-}If[simp]$:
 $wp\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2)\ Q =$
 $(\lambda s. (bval\ b\ s \longrightarrow wp\ c_1\ Q\ s) \wedge (\neg\ bval\ b\ s \longrightarrow wp\ c_2\ Q\ s))$
by (rule ext) (auto simp: wp-def)

lemma $wp\text{-}While\text{-}If$:
 $wp\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ Q\ s =$
 $wp\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c; WHILE\ b\ DO\ c\ ELSE\ SKIP)\ Q\ s$
unfolding wp-def **by** (metis unfold-while)

lemma $wp\text{-}While\text{-}True[simp]$: $bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow$
 $wp\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ Q\ s = wp\ (c; WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ Q\ s$
by(simp add: wp-While-If)

lemma $wp\text{-}While\text{-}False[simp]$: $\neg\ bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow wp\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ Q\ s =$
 $Q\ s$
by(simp add: wp-While-If)

10.5 Completeness

lemma $wp\text{-}is\text{-}pre$: $\vdash \{wp\ c\ Q\} c \{Q\}$
proof(induct c arbitrary: Q)
case Semi **thus** ?case **by**(auto intro: Semi)
next
case (If b c1 c2)
let ?If = IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2

```

show ?case
proof(rule hoare.If)
  show  $\vdash \{\lambda s. wp \ ?If \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ bval \ b \ s\} \ c1 \ \{Q\}$ 
  proof(rule strengthen-pre[OF - If(1)])
    show  $\forall s. wp \ ?If \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ bval \ b \ s \ \longrightarrow \ wp \ c1 \ Q \ s$  by auto
  qed
  show  $\vdash \{\lambda s. wp \ ?If \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ \neg \ bval \ b \ s\} \ c2 \ \{Q\}$ 
  proof(rule strengthen-pre[OF - If(2)])
    show  $\forall s. wp \ ?If \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ \neg \ bval \ b \ s \ \longrightarrow \ wp \ c2 \ Q \ s$  by auto
  qed
qed
next
  case (While b c)
  let ?w = WHILE b DO c
  have  $\vdash \{wp \ ?w \ Q\} \ ?w \ \{\lambda s. wp \ ?w \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ \neg \ bval \ b \ s\}$ 
  proof(rule hoare.While)
    show  $\vdash \{\lambda s. wp \ ?w \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ bval \ b \ s\} \ c \ \{wp \ ?w \ Q\}$ 
    proof(rule strengthen-pre[OF - While(1)])
      show  $\forall s. wp \ ?w \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ bval \ b \ s \ \longrightarrow \ wp \ c \ (wp \ ?w \ Q) \ s$  by auto
    qed
  qed
  thus ?case
  proof(rule weaken-post)
    show  $\forall s. wp \ ?w \ Q \ s \ \wedge \ \neg \ bval \ b \ s \ \longrightarrow \ Q \ s$  by auto
  qed
qed auto

lemma hoare-relative-complete: assumes  $\models \{P\}c\{Q\}$  shows  $\vdash \{P\}c\{Q\}$ 
proof(rule strengthen-pre)
  show  $\forall s. P \ s \ \longrightarrow \ wp \ c \ Q \ s$  using assms
  by (auto simp: hoare-valid-def wp-def)
  show  $\vdash \{wp \ c \ Q\} \ c \ \{Q\}$  by(rule wp-is-pre)
qed

end

```

11 Verification Conditions

theory VC **imports** Hoare **begin**

11.1 VCG via Weakest Preconditions

Annotated commands: commands where loops are annotated with invariants.

```
datatype acom = Askip
           | Aassign name aexp
           | Asemi acom acom
           | Aif bexp acom acom
           | Awhile bexp assn acom
```

Weakest precondition from annotated commands:

```
fun pre :: acom  $\Rightarrow$  assn  $\Rightarrow$  assn where
pre Askip Q = Q |
pre (Aassign x a) Q = ( $\lambda s. Q(s(x := \text{aval } a \ s))$ ) |
pre (Asemi c1 c2) Q = pre c1 (pre c2 Q) |
pre (Aif b c1 c2) Q =
  ( $\lambda s. (\text{bval } b \ s \longrightarrow \text{pre } c_1 \ Q \ s) \wedge$ 
    ( $\neg \text{bval } b \ s \longrightarrow \text{pre } c_2 \ Q \ s$ )) |
pre (Awhile b I c) Q = I
```

Verification condition:

```
fun vc :: acom  $\Rightarrow$  assn  $\Rightarrow$  assn where
vc Askip Q = ( $\lambda s. \text{True}$ ) |
vc (Aassign x a) Q = ( $\lambda s. \text{True}$ ) |
vc (Asemi c1 c2) Q = ( $\lambda s. \text{vc } c_1 \ (\text{pre } c_2 \ Q) \ s \wedge \text{vc } c_2 \ Q \ s$ ) |
vc (Aif b c1 c2) Q = ( $\lambda s. \text{vc } c_1 \ Q \ s \wedge \text{vc } c_2 \ Q \ s$ ) |
vc (Awhile b I c) Q =
  ( $\lambda s. (I \ s \wedge \neg \text{bval } b \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s) \wedge$ 
    ( $I \ s \wedge \text{bval } b \ s \longrightarrow \text{pre } c \ I \ s$ )  $\wedge$ 
    vc c I s)
```

Strip annotations:

```
fun astrip :: acom  $\Rightarrow$  com where
astrip Askip = SKIP |
astrip (Aassign x a) = (x::=a) |
astrip (Asemi c1 c2) = (astrip c1; astrip c2) |
astrip (Aif b c1 c2) = (IF b THEN astrip c1 ELSE astrip c2) |
astrip (Awhile b I c) = (WHILE b DO astrip c)
```

11.2 Soundness

lemma *vc-sound*: $\forall s. \text{vc } c \ Q \ s \Longrightarrow \vdash \{ \text{pre } c \ Q \} \text{astrip } c \ \{ Q \}$

proof(*induct* *c* *arbitrary*: *Q*)

case (*Awhile* *b* *I* *c*)

show ?*case*

proof(*simp*, *rule While'*)
from $\langle \forall s. vc \ (Awhile \ b \ I \ c) \ Q \ s \rangle$
have $vc: \forall s. vc \ c \ I \ s$ **and** $IQ: \forall s. I \ s \wedge \neg \ bval \ b \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s$ **and**
 $pre: \forall s. I \ s \wedge \ bval \ b \ s \longrightarrow pre \ c \ I \ s$ **by** *simp-all*
have $\vdash \{pre \ c \ I\} \ astrip \ c \ \{I\}$ **by**(*rule Awhile.hyps[OF vc]*)
with pre **show** $\vdash \{\lambda s. I \ s \wedge \ bval \ b \ s\} \ astrip \ c \ \{I\}$
by(*rule strengthen-pre*)
show $\forall s. I \ s \wedge \neg \ bval \ b \ s \longrightarrow Q \ s$ **by**(*rule IQ*)
qed
qed (*auto intro: hoare.conseq*)

corollary *vc-sound'*:
 $(\forall s. vc \ c \ Q \ s) \wedge (\forall s. P \ s \longrightarrow pre \ c \ Q \ s) \Longrightarrow \vdash \{P\} \ astrip \ c \ \{Q\}$
by (*metis strengthen-pre vc-sound*)

11.3 Completeness

lemma *pre-mono*:
 $\forall s. P \ s \longrightarrow P' \ s \Longrightarrow pre \ c \ P \ s \Longrightarrow pre \ c \ P' \ s$
proof (*induct c arbitrary: P P'*)
case *Asemi* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp metis*
qed *simp-all*

lemma *vc-mono*:
 $\forall s. P \ s \longrightarrow P' \ s \Longrightarrow vc \ c \ P \ s \Longrightarrow vc \ c \ P' \ s$
proof(*induct c arbitrary: P P'*)
case *Asemi* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp (metis pre-mono)*
qed *simp-all*

lemma *vc-complete*:
 $\vdash \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} \Longrightarrow \exists c'. \ astrip \ c' = c \wedge (\forall s. vc \ c' \ Q \ s) \wedge (\forall s. P \ s \longrightarrow pre \ c' \ Q \ s)$
 $(is \ - \Longrightarrow \exists c'. \ ?G \ P \ c \ Q \ c')$
proof (*induct rule: hoare.induct*)
case *Skip*
show *?case* **(is** $\exists ac. \ ?C \ ac$ **)**
proof **show** *?C* *Askip* **by** *simp* **qed**
next
case (*Assign* $P \ a \ x$)
show *?case* **(is** $\exists ac. \ ?C \ ac$ **)**
proof **show** *?C*(*Aassign* $x \ a$) **by** *simp* **qed**
next
case (*Semi* $P \ c1 \ Q \ c2 \ R$)
from *Semi.hyps* **obtain** $ac1$ **where** $ih1: \ ?G \ P \ c1 \ Q \ ac1$ **by** *blast*

```

from Semi.hyps obtain ac2 where ih2: ?G Q c2 R ac2 by blast
show ?case (is  $\exists$  ac. ?C ac)
proof
  show ?C(Asemi ac1 ac2)
    using ih1 ih2 by (fastsimp elim!: pre-mono vc-mono)
qed
next
  case (If P b c1 Q c2)
from If.hyps obtain ac1 where ih1: ?G ( $\lambda$ s. P s  $\wedge$  bval b s) c1 Q ac1
  by blast
from If.hyps obtain ac2 where ih2: ?G ( $\lambda$ s. P s  $\wedge$   $\neg$ bval b s) c2 Q ac2
  by blast
show ?case (is  $\exists$  ac. ?C ac)
proof
  show ?C(Aif b ac1 ac2) using ih1 ih2 by simp
qed
next
  case (While P b c)
from While.hyps obtain ac where ih: ?G ( $\lambda$ s. P s  $\wedge$  bval b s) c P ac
by blast
show ?case (is  $\exists$  ac. ?C ac)
proof show ?C(Awhile b P ac) using ih by simp qed
next
  case conseq thus ?case by(fast elim!: pre-mono vc-mono)
qed

```

11.4 An Optimization

```

fun vcpre :: acom  $\Rightarrow$  assn  $\Rightarrow$  assn  $\times$  assn where
vcpre Askip Q = ( $\lambda$ s. True, Q) |
vcpre (Aassign x a) Q = ( $\lambda$ s. True,  $\lambda$ s. Q(s[a/x])) |
vcpre (Asemi c1 c2) Q =
  (let (vc2,wp2) = vcpre c2 Q;
    (vc1,wp1) = vcpre c1 wp2
    in ( $\lambda$ s. vc1 s  $\wedge$  vc2 s, wp1)) |
vcpre (Aif b c1 c2) Q =
  (let (vc2,wp2) = vcpre c2 Q;
    (vc1,wp1) = vcpre c1 Q
    in ( $\lambda$ s. vc1 s  $\wedge$  vc2 s,  $\lambda$ s. (bval b s  $\longrightarrow$  wp1 s)  $\wedge$  ( $\neg$ bval b s  $\longrightarrow$  wp2 s)))
|
vcpre (Awhile b I c) Q =
  (let (vcc,wpc) = vcpre c I
    in ( $\lambda$ s. (I s  $\wedge$   $\neg$  bval b s  $\longrightarrow$  Q s)  $\wedge$ 
      (I s  $\wedge$  bval b s  $\longrightarrow$  wpc s)  $\wedge$  vcc s, I))

```

lemma *vcpre-vc-pre*: $vcpre\ c\ Q = (vc\ c\ Q, pre\ c\ Q)$
by (*induct c arbitrary: Q*) (*simp-all add: Let-def*)

end

12 Hoare Logic for Total Correctness

theory *HoareT* **imports** *Hoare-Sound-Complete* **begin**

Now that we have termination, we can define total validity, \models_t , as partial validity and guaranteed termination:

definition *hoare-tvalid* :: $assn \Rightarrow com \Rightarrow assn \Rightarrow bool$

($\models_t \{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\}$ 50) **where**
 $\models_t \{P\}c\{Q\} \equiv \forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow (\exists t. (c,s) \Rightarrow t \wedge Q\ t)$

Proveability of Hoare triples in the proof system for total correctness is written $\vdash_t \{P\}c\{Q\}$ and defined inductively. The rules for \vdash_t differ from those for \vdash only in the one place where nontermination can arise: the *While*-rule.

inductive

hoaret :: $assn \Rightarrow com \Rightarrow assn \Rightarrow bool$ ($\vdash_t (\{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\})$ 50)

where

Skip: $\vdash_t \{P\}\ \text{SKIP}\ \{P\}$ |

Assign: $\vdash_t \{\lambda s. P(s[a/x])\}\ x ::= a\ \{P\}$ |

Semi: $\llbracket \vdash_t \{P_1\}\ c_1\ \{P_2\}; \vdash_t \{P_2\}\ c_2\ \{P_3\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \vdash_t \{P_1\}\ c_1; c_2\ \{P_3\}$ |

If: $\llbracket \vdash_t \{\lambda s. P\ s \wedge bval\ b\ s\}\ c_1\ \{Q\}; \vdash_t \{\lambda s. P\ s \wedge \neg bval\ b\ s\}\ c_2\ \{Q\} \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \vdash_t \{P\}\ \text{IF}\ b\ \text{THEN}\ c_1\ \text{ELSE}\ c_2\ \{Q\}$ |

While:

$\llbracket \wedge n :: nat. \vdash_t \{\lambda s. P\ s \wedge bval\ b\ s \wedge f\ s = n\}\ c\ \{\lambda s. P\ s \wedge f\ s < n\} \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \vdash_t \{P\}\ \text{WHILE}\ b\ \text{DO}\ c\ \{\lambda s. P\ s \wedge \neg bval\ b\ s\}$ |

conseq: $\llbracket \forall s. P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s; \vdash_t \{P\}c\{Q\}; \forall s. Q\ s \longrightarrow Q'\ s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\vdash_t \{P'\}c\{Q\}$

The *While*-rule is like the one for partial correctness but it requires additionally that with every execution of the loop body some measure function $f :: state \Rightarrow nat$ decreases.

lemma *strengthen-pre*:

$\llbracket \forall s. P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s; \vdash_t \{P\}\ c\ \{Q\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \vdash_t \{P'\}\ c\ \{Q\}$

by (*metis conseq*)

lemma *weaken-post*:

$\llbracket \vdash_t \{P\}\ c\ \{Q\}; \forall s. Q\ s \longrightarrow Q'\ s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \vdash_t \{P\}\ c\ \{Q'\}$

by (*metis conseq*)

lemma *Assign'*: $\forall s. P\ s \longrightarrow Q(s[a/x]) \implies \vdash_t \{P\} x ::= a \{Q\}$

by (*simp add: strengthen-pre[OF - Assign]*)

lemma *While'*:

assumes $\bigwedge n::nat. \vdash_t \{\lambda s. P\ s \wedge bval\ b\ s \wedge f\ s = n\} c \{\lambda s. P\ s \wedge f\ s < n\}$

and $\forall s. P\ s \wedge \neg bval\ b\ s \longrightarrow Q\ s$

shows $\vdash_t \{P\} WHILE\ b\ DO\ c \{Q\}$

by(*blast intro: assms(1) weaken-post[OF While assms(2)]*)

Our standard example:

abbreviation *w n ==*

WHILE Less (V 1) (N n)

DO (1 ::= Plus (V 1) (N 1); 0 ::= Plus (V 0) (V 1))

lemma $\vdash_t \{\lambda s. True\} 0 ::= N\ 0; 1 ::= N\ 0; w\ n \{\lambda s. s\ 0 = \sum \{1 .. n\}\}$

apply(*rule Semi*)

prefer 2

apply(*rule While'*)

[**where** $P = \lambda s. s\ 0 = \sum \{1..s\ 1\} \wedge s\ 1 \leq n$

and $f = \lambda s. n - s\ 1$])

apply(*rule Semi*)

prefer 2

apply(*rule Assign*)

apply(*rule Assign'*)

apply *simp*

apply *arith*

apply *fastsimp*

apply(*rule Semi*)

prefer 2

apply(*rule Assign*)

apply(*rule Assign'*)

apply *simp*

done

The soundness theorem:

theorem *hoaret-sound*: $\vdash_t \{P\} c \{Q\} \implies \models_t \{P\} c \{Q\}$

proof(*unfold hoare-tvalid-def, induct rule: hoaret.induct*)

case (*While P b f c*)

show *?case*

proof

fix *s*

show $P\ s \longrightarrow (\exists t. (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s) \Rightarrow t \wedge P\ t \wedge \neg bval\ b\ t)$

```

proof(induct f s arbitrary: s rule: less-induct)
  case (less n)
  thus ?case by (metis While(2) WhileFalse WhileTrue)
qed
qed
next
  case If thus ?case by auto blast
qed fastsimp+

```

The completeness proof proceeds along the same lines as the one for partial correctness. First we have to strengthen our notion of weakest precondition to take termination into account:

definition $wp_t :: com \Rightarrow assn \Rightarrow assn (wp_t)$ **where**
 $wp_t\ c\ Q \equiv \lambda s. \exists t. (c,s) \Rightarrow t \wedge Q\ t$

lemma [*simp*]: $wp_t\ SKIP\ Q = Q$
by(*auto intro!: ext simp: wpt-def*)

lemma [*simp*]: $wp_t\ (x ::= e)\ Q = (\lambda s. Q(s(x := aval\ e\ s)))$
by(*auto intro!: ext simp: wpt-def*)

lemma [*simp*]: $wp_t\ (c_1;c_2)\ Q = wp_t\ c_1\ (wp_t\ c_2\ Q)$
unfolding *wpt-def*
apply(*rule ext*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma [*simp*]:
 $wp_t\ (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2)\ Q = (\lambda s. wp_t\ (if\ bval\ b\ s\ then\ c_1\ else\ c_2)\ Q\ s)$
apply(*unfold wpt-def*)
apply(*rule ext*)
apply *auto*
done

Now we define the number of iterations *WHILE* *b DO c* needs to terminate when started in state *s*. Because this is a truly partial function, we define it as an (inductive) relation first:

inductive *Its* :: $bexp \Rightarrow com \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
Its-0: $\neg\ bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow Its\ b\ c\ s\ 0$ |
Its-Suc: $\llbracket\ bval\ b\ s; (c,s) \Rightarrow s'; Its\ b\ c\ s'\ n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Its\ b\ c\ s\ (Suc\ n)$

The relation is in fact a function:

lemma *Its-fun*: $Its\ b\ c\ s\ n \Longrightarrow Its\ b\ c\ s\ n' \Longrightarrow n=n'$


```

proof(induct arbitrary: n' rule:Its.induct)

  case Its-0
  from this(1) Its.cases[OF this(2)] show ?case by metis
next
  case (Its-Suc b s c s' n n')
  note C = this
  from this(5) show ?case
  proof cases
    case Its-0 with Its-Suc(1) show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case Its-Suc with C show ?thesis by(metis big-step-determ)
  qed
qed

```

For all terminating loops, *Its* yields a result:

```

lemma WHILE-Its: (WHILE b DO c,s) ⇒ t ⇒ ∃ n. Its b c s n
proof(induct WHILE b DO c s t rule: big-step-induct)
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (metis Its-0)
next
  case WhileTrue thus ?case by (metis Its-Suc)
qed

```

Now the relation is turned into a function with the help of the description operator *THE*:

```

definition its :: bexp ⇒ com ⇒ state ⇒ nat where
its b c s = (THE n. Its b c s n)

```

The key property: every loop iteration increases *its* by 1.

```

lemma its-Suc: [ bval b s; (c, s) ⇒ s'; (WHILE b DO c, s') ⇒ t ]
  ⇒ its b c s = Suc(its b c s')
by (metis its-def WHILE-Its Its.intros(2) Its-fun the-equality)

```

```

lemma wpt-is-pre: ⊢t {wpt c Q} c {Q}
proof (induct c arbitrary: Q)
  case SKIP show ?case by simp (blast intro:hoaret.Skip)
next
  case Assign show ?case by simp (blast intro:hoaret.Assign)
next
  case Semi thus ?case by simp (blast intro:hoaret.Semi)
next
  case If thus ?case by simp (blast intro:hoaret.If hoaret.conseq)
next
  case (While b c)

```

```

let ?w = WHILE b DO c
{ fix n
  have  $\forall s. wp_t ?w Q s \wedge bval b s \wedge its b c s = n \longrightarrow$ 
     $wp_t c (\lambda s'. wp_t ?w Q s' \wedge its b c s' < n) s$ 
    unfolding wpt-def by (metis WhileE its-Suc lessI)
    note strengthen-pre[OF this While]
  } note hoaret.While[OF this]
  moreover have  $\forall s. wp_t ?w Q s \wedge \neg bval b s \longrightarrow Q s$  by (auto simp
add:wpt-def)
  ultimately show ?case by(rule weaken-post)
qed

```

In the *While*-case, *its* provides the obvious termination argument.

The actual completeness theorem follows directly, in the same manner as for partial correctness:

```

theorem hoaret-complete:  $\models_t \{P\}c\{Q\} \implies \vdash_t \{P\}c\{Q\}$ 
apply(rule strengthen-pre[OF - wpt-is-pre])
apply(auto simp: hoare-tvalid-def hoare-valid-def wpt-def)
done

```

end

13 Extensions and Variations of IMP

theory Procs **imports** BExp **begin**

13.1 Procedures and Local Variables

datatype

```

com = SKIP
| Assign name aexp      (- ::= - [1000, 61] 61)
| Semi com com          (-;/ - [60, 61] 60)
| If bexp com com      ((IF -/ THEN -/ ELSE -) [0, 0, 61] 61)
| While bexp com        ((WHILE -/ DO -) [0, 61] 61)
| Var name com          ((1{VAR -;/ -})
| Proc name com com     ((1{PROC - = -;/ -})
| CALL name

```

definition test-com =

```

{VAR 0;;
0 ::= N 0;
{PROC 0 = 0 ::= Plus (V 0) (V 0)};
{PROC 1 = CALL 0;;

```

```

{VAR 0;;
 0 ::= N 5;
 {PROC 0 = 0 ::= Plus (V 0) (N 1);;
  CALL 1; 1 ::= V 0}}}}

```

end

theory *Procs-Dyn-Vars-Dyn* **imports** *Util Procs*
begin

13.1.1 Dynamic Scoping of Procedures and Variables

types *penv* = *name* \Rightarrow *com*

inductive

big-step :: *penv* \Rightarrow *com* \times *state* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool* ($- \vdash - \Rightarrow -$ [60,0,60] 55)

where

Skip: $pe \vdash (SKIP, s) \Rightarrow s \mid$

Assign: $pe \vdash (x ::= a, s) \Rightarrow s(x := aval a s) \mid$

Semi: $\llbracket pe \vdash (c_1, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; pe \vdash (c_2, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (c_1; c_2, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3 \mid$

IfTrue: $\llbracket bval b s; pe \vdash (c_1, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (IF b THEN c_1 ELSE c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

IfFalse: $\llbracket \neg bval b s; pe \vdash (c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (IF b THEN c_1 ELSE c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

WhileFalse: $\neg bval b s \Longrightarrow pe \vdash (WHILE b DO c, s) \Rightarrow s \mid$

WhileTrue:

$\llbracket bval b s_1; pe \vdash (c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; pe \vdash (WHILE b DO c, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (WHILE b DO c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3 \mid$

Var: $pe \vdash (c, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow pe \vdash (\{VAR x;; c\}, s) \Rightarrow t(x := s x) \mid$

Call: $pe \vdash (pe p, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow pe \vdash (CALL p, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

Proc: $pe(p := cp) \vdash (c, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow pe \vdash (\{PROC p = cp;; c\}, s) \Rightarrow t$

code-pred *big-step* .

inductive *exec* **where**

$(\lambda p. SKIP) \vdash (c, nth ns) \Rightarrow s \Longrightarrow exec c ns$ (*list s (length ns)*)

code-pred *exec* .

values $\{ns. \text{exec } (CALL\ 0) [42,43]\ ns\}$

values $\{ns. \text{exec } \text{test-com } [0,0]\ ns\}$

end

theory *Procs-Stat-Vars-Dyn* **imports** *Util Procs*
begin

13.1.2 Static Scoping of Procedures, Dynamic of Variables

types *penv* = $(name \times com)\ list$

inductive

big-step :: $penv \Rightarrow com \times state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ $(- \vdash - \Rightarrow - [60,0,60] 55)$

where

Skip: $pe \vdash (SKIP, s) \Rightarrow s \mid$

Assign: $pe \vdash (x ::= a, s) \Rightarrow s(x := \text{aval } a\ s) \mid$

Semi: $\llbracket pe \vdash (c_1, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; pe \vdash (c_2, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (c_1; c_2, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3 \mid$

IfTrue: $\llbracket \text{bval } b\ s; pe \vdash (c_1, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

IfFalse: $\llbracket \neg \text{bval } b\ s; pe \vdash (c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

WhileFalse: $\neg \text{bval } b\ s \Longrightarrow pe \vdash (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s) \Rightarrow s \mid$

WhileTrue:

$\llbracket \text{bval } b\ s_1; pe \vdash (c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; pe \vdash (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pe \vdash (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3 \mid$

Var: $pe \vdash (c, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow pe \vdash (\{VAR\ x;;\ c\}, s) \Rightarrow t(x := s\ x) \mid$

Call1: $(p, c) \# pe \vdash (c, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow (p, c) \# pe \vdash (CALL\ p, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

Call2: $\llbracket p' \neq p; pe \vdash (CALL\ p, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(p', c) \# pe \vdash (CALL\ p, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

Proc: $(p, cp) \# pe \vdash (c, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow pe \vdash (\{PROC\ p = cp;;\ c\}, s) \Rightarrow t$

code-pred *big-step* .

inductive *exec* **where**

$\llbracket \vdash (c, nth\ ns) \Rightarrow s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{exec } c\ ns\ (list\ s\ (length\ ns))$

code-pred *exec* .

values {*ns. exec (CALL 0) [42,43] ns*}

values {*ns. exec test-com [0,0] ns*}

end

theory *Procs-Stat-Vars-Stat* **imports** *Util Procs*

begin

13.1.3 Static Scoping of Procedures and Variables

types

addr = *nat*

venv = *name* \Rightarrow *addr*

store = *addr* \Rightarrow *nat*

penv = (*name* \times *com* \times *venv*) *list*

fun *venv* :: *penv* \times *venv* \times *nat* \Rightarrow *venv* **where**

venv(-,*ve*,-) = *ve*

inductive

big-step :: *penv* \times *venv* \times *nat* \Rightarrow *com* \times *store* \Rightarrow *store* \Rightarrow *bool*

(- \vdash - \Rightarrow - [60,0,60] 55)

where

Skip: $e \vdash (SKIP, s) \Rightarrow s$ |

Assign: $(pe, ve, f) \vdash (x ::= a, s) \Rightarrow s(ve\ x := aval\ a\ (s\ o\ ve))$ |

Semi: $\llbracket e \vdash (c_1, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2; e \vdash (c_2, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $e \vdash (c_1; c_2, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3$ |

IfTrue: $\llbracket bval\ b\ (s\ o\ venv\ e); e \vdash (c_1, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $e \vdash (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \Rightarrow t$ |

IfFalse: $\llbracket \neg bval\ b\ (s\ o\ venv\ e); e \vdash (c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $e \vdash (IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \Rightarrow t$ |

WhileFalse: $\neg bval\ b\ (s\ o\ venv\ e) \Longrightarrow e \vdash (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s) \Rightarrow s$ |

WhileTrue:

$\llbracket bval\ b\ (s_1\ o\ venv\ e); e \vdash (c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2;$
 $e \vdash (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $e \vdash (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3$ |

Var: $(pe, ve(x:=f), f+1) \vdash (c, s) \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow$

$(pe,ve,f) \vdash (\{VAR\ x;;\ c\}, s) \Rightarrow t(x := s\ x) \mid$

Call1: $((p,c,ve)\#pe,ve,f) \vdash (c, s) \Rightarrow t \implies$
 $((p,c,ve)\#pe,ve',f) \vdash (CALL\ p, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$
Call2: $\llbracket p' \neq p; (pe,ve,f) \vdash (CALL\ p, s) \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies$
 $((p',c,ve')\#pe,ve,f) \vdash (CALL\ p, s) \Rightarrow t \mid$

Proc: $((p,cp,ve)\#pe,ve,f) \vdash (c,s) \Rightarrow t$
 $\implies (pe,ve,f) \vdash (\{PROC\ p = cp;;\ c\}, s) \Rightarrow t$

code-pred *big-step* .

inductive *exec* **where**

$([], \lambda n. n, 0) \vdash (c, nth\ ns) \Rightarrow s \implies exec\ c\ ns\ (list\ s\ (length\ ns))$

code-pred *exec* .

values $\{ns. exec\ (CALL\ 0)\ [42,43]\ ns\}$

values $\{ns. exec\ test-com\ [0,0]\ ns\}$

end

theory *C-like* **imports** *Util* **begin**

13.2 A C-like Language

types *state* = *nat* \Rightarrow *nat*

datatype *aexp* = *N nat* \mid *Deref aexp (!)* \mid *Plus aexp aexp*

fun *aval* :: *aexp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

aval (*N n*) *s* = *n* \mid

aval (!*a*) *s* = *s*(*aval a s*) \mid

aval (*Plus a₁ a₂*) *s* = *aval a₁ s* + *aval a₂ s*

datatype *bexp* = *B bool* \mid *Not bexp* \mid *And bexp bexp* \mid *Less aexp aexp*

primrec *bval* :: *bexp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

bval (*B bv*) = *bv* \mid

bval (*Not b*) *s* = (\neg *bval b s*) \mid

bval (*And b₁ b₂*) *s* = (*if bval b₁ s then bval b₂ s else False*) \mid

bval (*Less a₁ a₂*) *s* = (*aval a₁ s* < *aval a₂ s*)

datatype

$com = SKIP$
 $| Assign\ aexp\ aexp \quad (- ::= - [61, 61] 61)$
 $| New\ aexp\ aexp$
 $| Semi\ com\ com \quad (-;/ - [60, 61] 60)$
 $| If\ bexp\ com\ com \quad ((IF\ -/\ THEN\ -/\ ELSE\ -) [0, 0, 61] 61)$
 $| While\ bexp\ com \quad ((WHILE\ -/\ DO\ -) [0, 61] 61)$

inductive

$big\ step :: com \times state \times nat \Rightarrow state \times nat \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \Rightarrow 55)

where

$Skip: (SKIP, sn) \Rightarrow sn \mid$
 $Assign: (lhs ::= a, s, n) \Rightarrow (s(aval\ lhs\ s := aval\ a\ s), n) \mid$
 $New: (New\ lhs\ a, s, n) \Rightarrow (s(aval\ lhs\ s := n), n + aval\ a\ s) \mid$
 $Semi: \llbracket (c_1, sn_1) \Rightarrow sn_2; (c_2, sn_2) \Rightarrow sn_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(c_1; c_2, sn_1) \Rightarrow sn_3 \mid$

$IfTrue: \llbracket bval\ b\ s; (c_1, s, n) \Rightarrow tn \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s, n) \Rightarrow tn \mid$
 $IfFalse: \llbracket \neg bval\ b\ s; (c_2, s, n) \Rightarrow tn \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s, n) \Rightarrow tn \mid$

$WhileFalse: \neg bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s, n) \Rightarrow (s, n) \mid$

$WhileTrue:$

$\llbracket bval\ b\ s_1; (c, s_1, n) \Rightarrow sn_2; (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, sn_2) \Rightarrow sn_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(WHILE\ b\ DO\ c, s_1, n) \Rightarrow sn_3$

code-pred $big\ step$.

inductive $exec :: com \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $(c, nth\ sl, length\ sl) \Rightarrow (s', n) \Longrightarrow exec\ c\ sl\ (list\ s'\ n)$

code-pred $exec$.

Examples:

definition

$array\ sum =$
 $WHILE\ Less\ (!(N\ 0))\ (Plus\ (!(N\ 1))\ (N\ 1))$
 $DO\ (N\ 2 ::= Plus\ (!(N\ 2))\ (!(N\ 0));$
 $N\ 0 ::= Plus\ (!(N\ 0))\ (N\ 1)$

values $\{sl.\ exec\ array\ sum\ [3, 4, 0, 3, 7]\ sl\}$

definition

```

linked-list-sum =
  WHILE Less (N 0) (!(N 0))
  DO ( N 1 ::= Plus(!(N 1)) (!(!(N 0)));
      N 0 ::= !(Plus(!(N 0))(N 1)) )

```

values {sl. exec linked-list-sum [4,0,3,0,7,2] sl}

definition

```

array-init =
  New (N 0) (!(N 1)); N 2 ::= !(N 0);
  WHILE Less (!(N 2)) (Plus (!(N 0)) (!(N 1)))
  DO ( !(N 2) ::= !(N 2);
      N 2 ::= Plus (!(N 2)) (N 1) )

```

values {sl. exec array-init [5,2,7] sl}

definition

```

linked-list-init =
  WHILE Less (!(N 1)) (!(N 0))
  DO ( New (N 3) (N 2);
      N 1 ::= Plus (!(N 1)) (N 1);
      !(N 3) ::= !(N 1);
      Plus (!(N 3)) (N 1) ::= !(N 2);
      N 2 ::= !(N 3) )

```

values {sl. exec linked-list-init [2,0,0,0] sl}

end

theory OO imports Util begin

13.3 Towards an OO Language: A Language of Records

abbreviation $fun\text{-}upd2 :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c$
 $(-\/'((2,- :=/ -)') [1000,0,0,0] 900)$

where $f(x,y := z) == f(x := (f x)(y := z))$

types $addr = nat$

datatype $ref = null \mid Ref\ addr$

types

$obj = string \Rightarrow ref$

$venv = string \Rightarrow ref$

$store = addr \Rightarrow obj$

datatype $exp =$

$Null \mid$

$New \mid$

$V \text{ string} \mid$

$Faccess \text{ exp string} \quad (--- [63,1000] 63) \mid$

$Vassign \text{ string exp} \quad ((- ::= / -) [1000,61] 62) \mid$

$Fassign \text{ exp string exp} \quad ((--- ::= / -) [63,0,62] 62) \mid$

$Mcall \text{ exp string exp} \quad (---<-> [63,0,0] 63) \mid$

$Semi \text{ exp exp} \quad (-; / - [61,60] 60) \mid$

$If \text{ bexp exp exp} \quad (IF - / THEN - / ELSE - [0,0,61] 61)$

and $bexp = B \text{ bool} \mid Not \text{ bexp} \mid And \text{ bexp bexp} \mid Eq \text{ exp exp}$

types

$menv = string \Rightarrow exp$

$config = venv \times store \times addr$

inductive

$big\text{-}step :: menv \Rightarrow exp \times config \Rightarrow ref \times config \Rightarrow bool$

$((- \vdash / (- \Rightarrow -)) [60,0,60] 55)$ **and**

$bval :: menv \Rightarrow bexp \times config \Rightarrow bool \times config \Rightarrow bool$

$(- \vdash - \rightarrow - [60,0,60] 55)$

where

Null:

$me \vdash (Null, c) \Rightarrow (null, c) \mid$

New:

$me \vdash (New, ve, s, n) \Rightarrow (Ref \ n, ve, s(n := (\lambda f. null)), n+1) \mid$

Vaccess:

$me \vdash (V \ x, ve, sn) \Rightarrow (ve \ x, ve, sn) \mid$

Faccess:

$me \vdash (e, c) \Rightarrow (Ref \ a, ve', s', n') \Longrightarrow$

$me \vdash (e.f, c) \Rightarrow (s' \ a \ f, ve', s', n') \mid$

Vassign:

$me \vdash (e, c) \Rightarrow (r, ve', sn') \Longrightarrow$

$me \vdash (x ::= e, c) \Rightarrow (r, ve'(x:=r), sn') \mid$

Fassign:

$\llbracket me \vdash (oe, c_1) \Rightarrow (Ref \ a, c_2); \ me \vdash (e, c_2) \Rightarrow (r, ve_3, s_3, n_3) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$me \vdash (oe.f ::= e, c_1) \Rightarrow (r, ve_3, s_3(a.f := r), n_3) \mid$

Mcall:

$\llbracket me \vdash (oe, c_1) \Rightarrow (or, c_2); \ me \vdash (pe, c_2) \Rightarrow (pr, ve_3, sn_3);$

$ve = (\lambda x. null)("this" := or, "param" := pr);$

$me \vdash (me \ m, ve, sn_3) \Rightarrow (r, ve', sn_4) \rrbracket$

\Longrightarrow

$$me \vdash (oe \cdot m \langle pe \rangle, c_1) \Rightarrow (r, ve_3, sn_4) \mid$$

Semi:

$$\llbracket me \vdash (e_1, c_1) \Rightarrow (r, c_2); me \vdash (e_2, c_2) \Rightarrow c_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$

$$me \vdash (e_1; e_2, c_1) \Rightarrow c_3 \mid$$

IfTrue:

$$\llbracket me \vdash (b, c_1) \rightarrow (True, c_2); me \vdash (e_1, c_2) \Rightarrow c_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$

$$me \vdash (IF b THEN e_1 ELSE e_2, c_1) \Rightarrow c_3 \mid$$

IfFalse:

$$\llbracket me \vdash (b, c_1) \rightarrow (False, c_2); me \vdash (e_2, c_2) \Rightarrow c_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$

$$me \vdash (IF b THEN e_1 ELSE e_2, c_1) \Rightarrow c_3 \mid$$

$$me \vdash (B bv, c) \rightarrow (bv, c) \mid$$

$$me \vdash (b, c_1) \rightarrow (bv, c_2) \Longrightarrow me \vdash (Not b, c_1) \rightarrow (\neg bv, c_2) \mid$$

$$\llbracket me \vdash (b_1, c_1) \rightarrow (bv_1, c_2); me \vdash (b_2, c_2) \rightarrow (bv_2, c_3) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$

$$me \vdash (And b_1 b_2, c_1) \rightarrow (bv_1 \wedge bv_2, c_3) \mid$$

$$\llbracket me \vdash (e_1, c_1) \Rightarrow (r_1, c_2); me \vdash (e_2, c_2) \Rightarrow (r_2, c_3) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$

$$me \vdash (Eq e_1 e_2, c_1) \rightarrow (r_1 = r_2, c_3)$$

code-pred (*modes: i => i => o => bool*) *big-step* .

Execution of semantics. The final variable environment and store are converted into lists of references based on given lists of variable and field names to extract.

inductive *exec* :: *menu* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *string list* \Rightarrow *string list*
 \Rightarrow *ref* \Rightarrow *ref list* \Rightarrow *ref list list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $me \vdash (e, (\lambda x. null), nth [], 0) \Rightarrow (r, ve', s', n) \Longrightarrow$
 $exec\ me\ e\ xs\ fs\ r\ (map\ ve'\ xs)\ (map\ (\lambda n. map\ (s'\ n)\ fs)\ [0..<n])$

code-pred *exec* .

Example: natural numbers encoded as objects with a predecessor field. Null is zero. Method succ adds an object in front, method add adds as many objects in front as the parameter specifies.

First, the method bodies:

definition

$$m\text{-succ} = ("s" ::= New) \cdot "pred" ::= V "this"; V "s"$$

definition *m-add* =

$$IF\ Eq\ (V\ "param")\ Null$$

$$THEN\ V\ "this"$$

ELSE V "this".succ<Null>.add< V "param".pred>

The method environment:

definition

menu = ($\lambda m.$ Null)("succ" := *m-succ*, "add" := *m-add*)

The main code, adding 1 and 2:

definition *main* =

"1" ::= Null.succ<Null>;

"2" ::= V "1".succ<Null>;

V "2".add < V "1">

values {(*r, vl, ol*). *exec menu main* ["1", "2"] ["pred"] *r vl ol*}

end