

# Semantics of Programming Languages

## Exercise Sheet 5

### Exercise 5.1 Rule Inversion

Recall the evenness predicate  $ev$  from the lecture:

```
inductive  $ev :: "nat \Rightarrow bool"$  where  
   $ev0$ : " $ev\ 0$ " |  
   $evSS$ : " $ev\ n \Longrightarrow ev\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))$ "
```

Prove the converse of rule  $evSS$  using rule inversion. Hint: There are two ways to proceed. First, you can write a structured Isar-style proof using the *cases* method:

```
lemma " $ev\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n)) \Longrightarrow ev\ n$ "  
proof –  
  assume " $ev\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))$ " then show " $ev\ n$ "  
  proof (cases)
```

...

```
  qed  
qed
```

*Optional:* Alternatively, you can write a more automated proof by using the **inductive\_cases** command to generate elimination rules. These rules can then be used with "*auto elim:*". (If given the [*elim*] attribute, *auto* will use them by default.)

```
inductive_cases  $evSS\_elim$ : " $ev\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))$ "
```

Next, prove that the natural number three ( $Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ 0))$ ) is not even. Hint: You may proceed either with a structured proof, or with an automatic one. An automatic proof may require additional elimination rules from **inductive\_cases**.

```
lemma " $\neg ev\ (Suc\ (Suc\ (Suc\ 0)))$ "
```

### Exercise 5.2 (Deterministic) labeled transition systems

**Give all your proofs in Isar, not apply style**

A *labeled transition system* is a directed graph with edge labels. We represent it by a predicate that holds for the edges.

**type\_synonym** ('q,'l) lts = "'q  $\Rightarrow$  'l  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  bool"

I.e., for an LTS  $\delta$  over nodes of type 'q and labels of type 'l,  $\delta q l q'$  means that there is an edge from  $q$  to  $q'$  labeled with  $l$ .

A word from source node  $u$  to target node  $v$  is the sequence of edge labels one encounters when going from  $u$  to  $v$ .

Define a predicate *word*, such that *word*  $\delta u w v$  holds iff  $w$  is a word from  $u$  to  $v$ .

**inductive** *word* :: "'q,'l) lts  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  'l list  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  bool" for  $\delta$

A *deterministic* LTS has at most one transition for each node and label

**definition** "det  $\delta \equiv \forall q a q1 q2. \delta q a q1 \wedge \delta q a q2 \longrightarrow q1 = q2$ "

Show: For a deterministic LTS, the same word from the same source node leads to at most one target node, i.e., the target node is determined by the source node and the path

**lemma**

**assumes** *det*: "det  $\delta$ "

**shows** "word  $\delta q w q' \Longrightarrow$  word  $\delta q w q'' \Longrightarrow q' = q''$ "

### Exercise 5.3 Counting Elements

**Give all your proofs in Isar, not apply style**

Recall the count function, that counts how often a specified element occurs in a list:

**fun** *count* :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat" **where**

"count  $x [] = 0$ "

| "count  $x (y\#ys) = (if x=y then Suc (count x ys) else count x ys)$ "

Show that, if an element occurs in the list (its count is positive), the list can be split into a prefix not containing the element, the element itself, and a suffix containing the element one times less

**lemma** "count  $x xs = Suc n \Longrightarrow \exists p s. xs = p @ x \# s \wedge count x p = 0 \wedge count x s = n$ "

### Homework 5.1 Paths in Graphs

*Submission until Tuesday, November 29, 10:00am.*

**Give all your proofs in Isar, not apply style**

A graph is specified by a set of edges:  $E :: ('v \times 'v)$  set. A path in a graph from  $u$  to  $v$  is a list of vertices  $[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  such that  $u = u_1$ ,  $(u_i, u_{i+1}) \in E$ , and  $(u_n, v) \in E$ . Moreover, the empty list is a path from any node to itself.

For example, in the graph:  $\{(i, i+1) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , we have that  $[3,4,5]$  is a path from 3 to 6, and  $[]$  is a path from 1 to 1.

Note that not including the last node of the path into the list simplifies the formalization. Formalize an inductive predicate *is\_path*

**inductive** *is\_path* :: “(*v* × *v*) set ⇒ *v* ⇒ *v* list ⇒ *v* ⇒ bool”

Test your formalization for some examples:

**lemma** “*is\_path* {(*i*, *i*+1) | *i*::nat. True} 3 [3,4,5] 6”

**lemma** “*is\_path* {(*i*, *i*+1) | *i*::nat. True} 1 [] 1”

Prove the following two lemmas that allow you to glue together and split paths:

**lemma** *path\_appendI*:

“[*is\_path* *E* *u* *p1* *v*; *is\_path* *E* *v* *p2* *w*] ⇒ *is\_path* *E* *u* (*p1*@*p2*) *w*”

Hint: For the next lemma, do an induction over *p1*, and, in the induction step, use rule-inversion on *is\_path*.

**lemma** *path\_appendE*:

“*is\_path* *E* *u* (*p1*@*p2*) *w* ⇒ ∃ *v*. *is\_path* *E* *u* *p1* *v* ∧ *is\_path* *E* *v* *p2* *w*”

## Homework 5.2 Grammars

*Submission until Tuesday, November 29, 10:00am.*

**Give all your proofs in Isar, not apply style**

We define a grammar for strings of the form  $a^n b^n$ , where *a* and *b* are defined via the type *ab*:

**datatype** *ab* = *a* | *b*

We define the language of all strings of the form  $a^n b^n$  by means of the following rules:

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid \epsilon$$

**inductive** *S* :: “*ab* list ⇒ bool” **where**

*add*: “*S* *w* ⇒ *S* (*a* # *w* @ [*b*])”

| *nil*: “*S* []”

Your task is to show that the grammar fulfills the informal specification of the language, i.e.

**lemma** *S\_correct*:

“*S* *w* ⇔ (∃ *n*. *w* = replicate *n* *a* @ replicate *n* *b*)”

Here, *replicate* is a pre-defined function, with *replicate* *n* *x* producing a list consisting of *n* copies of *x*.

Hint: you may want to split the proof into proofs for the two directions of ⇔. Your proofs may require additional lemmas on *replicate*.