

## Semantics of Programming Languages

### Exercise Sheet 11

#### **Exercise 11.1** Using the VCG

Use the VCG to prove correct a multiplication and a square root program:

\*Note: With the template, use *unbundle COM/unbundle ACOM* before writing down a *com/acom* definition, otherwise parsing will be slow.

**definition** *MUL* :: *com* **where**

```
"MUL =
  "z'':=N 0;;
  "c'':=N 0;;
  WHILE (Less (V "c'') (V "y'')) DO (
    "z'':=Plus (V "z'') (V "x'');;
    "c'':=Plus (V "c'') (N 1))"
```

**theorem** *MUL\_partially\_correct*:

```
"{λs. 0 ≤ s "y" ∧ s=sorig}
  MUL
  {λs. s "z" = s "x" * s "y" ∧ (forall v. vnotin{"z","c"} → s v = sorig v)}"
```

**definition** *SQRT* :: *com* **where**

```
"SQRT =
  "r'':= N 0;;
  "s'':= N 1;;
  WHILE (Not (Less (V "x'') (V "s''))) DO (
    "r'':= Plus (V "r'') (N 1);;
    "s'':= Plus (V "s'') (V "r'");;
    "s'':= Plus (V "s'') (V "r'");;
    "s'':= Plus (V "s'") (N 1)
  )"
```

**theorem** *SQRT\_partially\_correct*:

```
"{λs. s=sorig ∧ s "x" ≥ 0}
  SQRT
  {λs. (s "r")^2 ≤ s "x" ∧ s "x" < (s "r"+1)^2 ∧ (forall v. vnotin{"s","r"} → s v = sorig v)}"
```

## Exercise 11.2 Total Correctness

Prove total correctness of the multiplication and square root program.

Rotated rule for sequential composition:

```
lemmas Seq_bwd = Hoare_Total.Seq[rotated]
```

```
lemmas hoareT_rule[intro?] = Seq_bwd Hoare_Total.Assign Hoare_Total.Assign'
```

**theorem MUL\_totally\_correct:**

$$\vdash_t \{\lambda s. 0 \leq s "y" \wedge s = sorig\} \text{ MUL } \{\lambda s. s "z" = s "x" * s "y" \wedge (\forall v. v \notin \{"z", "c"\} \rightarrow s v = sorig v)\}$$

**theorem SQRT\_totally\_correct:**

$$\vdash_t \{\lambda s. s = sorig \wedge s "x" \geq 0\} \text{ SQRT } \{\lambda s. (s "r")^2 \leq s "x" \wedge s "x" < (s "r" + 1)^2 \wedge (\forall v. v \notin \{"s", "r"\} \rightarrow s v = sorig v)\}$$

## Homework 11.1 Automadness

Submission until Monday, Jan 22, 23:59pm.

In this homework, we consider yet another way to compute the square root of a natural number. For this, we extend *aexp* with some new arithmetic operators and add their expected semantics:

```
datatype aexp = N int | V (char list) | Plus aexp aexp | Minus aexp aexp | Mul aexp aexp | Div aexp aexp
```

```
aval (N n) s = n
aval (V x) s = s x
aval (Plus a1 a2) s = aval a1 s + aval a2 s
aval (Div a1 a2) s = aval a1 s div aval a2 s
aval (Mul a1 a2) s = aval a1 s * aval a2 s
aval (Minus a1 a2) s = aval a1 s - aval a2 s
```

The program is defined as follows:

```
L := 0;
R := x + 1;
WHILE L + 1 < R DO
    M := (L + R) / 2;
    IF x < M^2
    THEN R := M
```

**ELSE L := M**

Your goal is to prove the correctness of the program using the VCG. Define a suitable invariant and complete the proof below. Do not change the given lines that set up the VCG proof!

```

definition SQRT_invar :: "state ⇒ assn"
theorem SQRT_partially_correct:
  " $\vdash \{\lambda s. s = s_{orig} \wedge 0 \leq s''x''\}$ 
   SQRT
    $\{\lambda s. (s''L'')^{\wedge 2} \leq s''x'' \wedge s''x'' < (s''L'' + 1)^{\wedge 2} \wedge (\forall v. v \notin SQRT\_vars \longrightarrow s v = s_{orig} v)\}"$ 

  apply (subst SQRT_annot_strip[of SQRT_invar sorig, symmetric])
  apply (rule vc_sound')

```

While you do not have to show total correctness, we want to you prove the key arguments of the termination proof. For this, define a suitable measure function and prove the following lemmas, showing that the measure decreases with each loop-iteration:

```

definition SQRT_measure :: "state ⇒ nat"
lemma SQRT_measure_correct:
  assumes "s''L'' + 1 < s''R''"
  shows "s''x'' < ((s''L'' + s''R'') div 2)^{\wedge 2} \implies
    SQRT_measure (s''M'' := (s''L'' + s''R'') div 2, "R" := (s''L'' + s''R'') div 2))
    < SQRT_measure s"
  and "\neg(s''x'' < ((s''L'' + s''R'') div 2)^{\wedge 2}) \implies
    SQRT_measure (s''M'' := (s''L'' + s''R'') div 2, "L" := (s''L'' + s''R'') div 2))
    < SQRT_measure s"

```